

**David M. Grant**  
Senior Counsel



*Public Version*

March 22, 2002

Mr. Caton  
Acting Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Ameritech Operating Companies and Pacific Bell Telephone Company,  
Petitions for Pricing Flexibility, CCB/CPD File Nos. 01-32, 01-33, and  
02-03

Dear Mr. Caton:

Enclosed please find attached the Public Version of an ex parte presentation submitted by the Ameritech Operating Companies (Ameritech), and Pacific Bell Telephone Company (Pacific Bell) in the above-captioned proceedings. The purpose of this filing is to resolve any remaining issues pertaining to these petitions. This document, excluding any confidential information relevant to another party, is being served on all parties that are affected by the revisions contained herein.

In its opposition to SBC's Pricing Flexibility Petitions, AT&T asserted that it did not have alternative transport in two of Ameritech's wire centers. As previously indicated in SBC's ex parte filed on January 18, 2002, Ameritech acknowledged that it had erroneously cited AT&T, specifically TCG, as having alternative transport in the **REDACTED** wire center in the **REDACTED** MSA. In reference to the second wire center, AT&T filed a letter on March 21, 2002 withdrawing its challenge to the **REDACTED** wire center in the **REDACTED** MSA. All other wire centers disputed by AT&T in its opposition have been resolved in SBC's January 18, 2002 ex parte or as a result of re-filing collocation information for the Los Angeles/Long Beach, CA MSA (which was unopposed by AT&T).

As mentioned in SBC's previous ex parte, Level 3 Communications informally challenged SBC's inclusion of nine wire centers in its petitions, arguing that they do not have collocation arrangements with alternative transport. (A copy of this letter was

included in SBC's ex parte filed on January 18, 2002.) In response to Level 3 Communications' opposition, SBC re-examined each challenged wire center and confirmed that Level 3 Communications is relying upon alternative transport in eight of the nine disputed wire centers. Level 3 Communications has confirmed in a letter to SBC (see Attachment 1) that it is relying upon alternative transport in seven of the disputed wire centers. The two remaining wire centers were in the Los Angeles/Long Beach, CA MSA, which Pacific Bell withdrew from its petition. When the Los Angeles/Long Beach, CA MSA was re-filed on January 25, 2002, Pacific Bell confirmed that Level 3 Communications was relying upon alternative transport in **REDACTED** wire center and also removed the **REDACTED** wire center. This filing was unopposed by Level 3 Communications.

In the process of reviewing the collocation information included in the Ameritech petition, SBC has determined that Qwest was erroneously cited as having alternative transport in six central offices in the **REDACTED** MSA. (**REDACTED REDACTED, REDACTED, REDACTED, REDACTED, and REDACTED**). Qwest continues to maintain a collocation arrangement at these sites, although the relevant transport facilities were misidentified as facilities owned by a non-SBC provider, when in fact they are SBC facilities. As a result of these findings, Ameritech has removed these collocation arrangements from the particular wire centers. All of the wire centers mentioned have additional collocators; therefore, these changes do not affect the status of the wire center or the relief requested. Attachment 2 contains a complete Ameritech Appendix C, reflecting the revisions made in the **REDACTED** MSA.

In Pacific Bell's Petition for Pricing Flexibility filed on January 25, 2002 for the Los Angeles/Long Beach, CA MSA, SpectraNet was listed as utilizing alternative transport in the **REDACTED, REDACTED, and REDACTED** wire centers. SpectraNet notified SBC that these collocation arrangements should be disconnected and as a result these changes must be reflected in Pacific Bell's pricing flexibility information for the Los Angeles/Long Beach, CA MSA. All of the wire centers mentioned have additional collocators; therefore, these changes do not affect the status of the wire center or the relief requested. See Attachment 3 for the revised Pacific Bell Appendix C for the Los Angeles/Long Beach, CA MSA.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

/s/ Davida Grant  
Davida Grant

Attachments

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Loretia Hill, do hereby certify that on this 22<sup>nd</sup> Day of March, a copy of the foregoing “Exparte” was served by U.S. Mail via First Class postage paid to the parties listed below.

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
Loretia Hill

**Mr. Do Son Kong**  
**Senior Manager, Network Engineering Support**  
**Verado(Spectranet(Firstworld))**  
**12500 E. Arapahoe Road**  
**Englewood, CO 80112**

**Mr. Thomas C. Storz**  
**Senior Vice President and General Counsel**  
**Jennifer McMann**  
**Manager Interconnection Services**  
**Level 3 Communications, LLC**  
**1025 El Dorado Boulevard**  
**Broomfield, CO 80021**

**Mr. Douglas Nelson**  
**US West Interprise America**  
**Qwest Communications Corp.**  
**4250 N. Fairfax Drive 12<sup>th</sup> Floor**  
**Arlington, VA 22203**

**Ms. Judy Sello**  
**Mr. Mark C. Rosenblum**  
**Mr. Stephen C. Garravito**  
**Ms. Teresa Marrero**  
**AT&T Communications**  
**(Incl. Teleport Communications Group, Inc &**  
**MediaOne of Delaware, Inc.)**  
**295 North Maple**  
**Room 113L2**  
**Basking Ridge, NJ 07920**