

Davida M. Grant  
Senior Counsel

SBC Communications Inc.  
1401 I Street, NW  
Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20005



***Public Version***

January 18, 2002

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas  
Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Ameritech Operating Companies, Pacific Bell Telephone Company, Southern New England Telephone Company and Southwestern Bell Telephone Company  
Petitions for Pricing Flexibility, CCB/CPD File Nos. 01-32, 01-33, 01-34, and 01-35

Dear Ms. Salas:

Enclosed for filing please find attached the Public Version of an ex parte presentation submitted by the Ameritech Operating Companies (Ameritech), Pacific Bell Telephone Company (Pacific Bell), Southern New England Telephone Company (SNET) and Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT) in the above-captioned proceedings. Concurrently, a Confidential Version of the ex parte presentation is being submitted to the Commission pursuant to the Protective Order (DA 01-3009) adopted on December 21, 2001. A copy of the Public Version, along with any confidential information relevant to a particular party, is being served on all parties that participated in these proceedings or are affected by the revisions contained herein. In addition, a Confidential Inspection Copy of Appendix C is being served on parties that have signed a non-disclosure agreement.

Pacific Bell is withdrawing the Los Angeles, CA MSA from its Petition for Pricing Flexibility. As a result, any challenges to Pacific Bell's collocation data for the Los Angeles, CA MSA will not be addressed in this ex parte. The revised Appendix C for the Pacific Bell Petition, Attachment 13 to this ex parte, reflects removal of the Los Angeles, CA MSA.

Several parties challenged SBC's inclusion of certain wire centers in its petitions, arguing that they do not have collocation arrangements with alternative transport. In response to these formal and informal challenges, SBC has re-examined each challenged wire center. The result of SBC's re-examination is as follows:

- In its opposition to the pricing flexibility petitions, AT&T asserted that Pacific Bell erroneously included 11 wire centers and Ameritech erroneously included 2 wire centers in their petitions wherein they asserted that AT&T is a collocater with competitive transport.

**REDACTED. REDACTED.** Ameritech correctly cited AT&T as having alternative transport in 1 of the 2 disputed Ameritech wire centers.<sup>1</sup> Ameritech erred with respect to 1 collocation arrangement cited in its petition and Pacific Bell erred with respect to the remaining 2 wire centers cited in its petition. Attachment 1 to the ex parte is a confidential response to AT&T's allegations.

- In a letter to SBC, Level 3 Communications asserted that SBC included 9 wire centers in its petitions wherein SBC erroneously asserted that Level 3 is a collocater with competitive transport. See Attachment 3. **REDACTED. REDACTED.** SBC correctly cited Level 3 as having alternative transport **REDACTED.**<sup>2</sup> Attachment 4 to the ex parte is a confidential response to Level 3's allegations.
- In a letter to SBC, Cablevision Lightpath asserted that 1 collocation arrangement included in **REDACTED** petition has been disconnected. See Attachment 7. Cablevision is correct. Attachment 8 to the ex parte is a confidential response to Cablevision's claim.
- Upon further review of its collocation information, SBC has determined that Ameritech and Pacific Bell each erroneously cited one collocater as having alternative transport. Ameritech and Pacific Bell have removed these collocation arrangements from the particular wire centers. Attachments 9 and 10 to the ex parte are a confidential identification of these collocation arrangements.

As a result of the foregoing disputed collocation arrangements, Ameritech is removing three collocation arrangements from its petition, resulting in the failure of 1 wire center to meet the collocation requirements. Pacific Bell is withdrawing the Los Angeles, CA MSA, as well as three collocation arrangements, resulting in the failure of 1 wire center to meet the collocation requirements. Attachment 11 provides a chart summarizing these changes by MSA. Attachment 12 is a revised Appendix C for the Ameritech petition and Attachment 13 is a revised Appendix C for the Pacific Bell petition.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

/s/ Davida Grant  
Davida Grant

Attachments

---

<sup>1</sup> SBC will contact AT&T to address the collocation arrangement where it believes that AT&T has erred.

<sup>2</sup> SBC has contacted Level 3 with its results and is in the process of resolving this dispute with Level 3.