



1300 I Street, NW, Suite 400 West
Washington, D.C. 20005

Phone: 202-515-2590
Fax: 202-336-7922
frederick.moacdieh@verizon.com

September 16, 2013

Verizon Request for Confidential Treatment of Demand Data
In support of Transmittal No.1253 being filed on a streamlined basis
on 15 days' notice under Section 204(a)(3) of the Communications Act.

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Attention: Wireline Competition Bureau

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Today, Verizon¹ is submitting its Mid-year TRS and Regulatory Fee Exogenous Cost Price Cap filing for Tariff FCC Nos. 1, 11, and 16, Access Services, and Tariff FCC No. 14, Facilities for Interstate Access, under Transmittal No. 1253, which is being filed on 15 days' notice pursuant to the Commission's Tariff Streamlining Order.² Because of the competitively sensitive nature of the information, Verizon has redacted certain projected lines at the exchange level demand data, used in the ARC Spreadsheets. Accordingly, pursuant to Sections 0.457 and 0.459 of the Commission's rules, Exemption 4 of the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), as well as the Tariff Streamlining Order and the rules adopted thereunder, Verizon is hereby requesting that such demand data be treated as confidential and be made subject to the standard Protective Order and Declaration adopted by the

¹ The Verizon companies making this filing include: (a) Verizon Delaware LLC; Verizon Maryland LLC; Verizon New England Inc.; Verizon New Jersey Inc.; Verizon New York Inc.; Verizon Pennsylvania LLC; Verizon Virginia LLC; and Verizon Washington, D.C. Inc.; and (b) Verizon California Inc.; Verizon Florida LLC; Verizon North LLC; Verizon South Inc.; and GTE Southwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Southwest. The companies in (a) will be referred to as Verizon-East. The companies in (b) will be referred to as Verizon-West.

² *In the Matter of Implementation of Section 402(b)(1)(A) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, Order, 12 FCC Rcd 2170 (1997) ("Tariff Streamlining Order").

September 16, 2013

Page 2

Commission in the Tariff Streamlining Order and published in Appendix B thereof. 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.457, 0.459; 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4); *Washington Post Co. v. U.S. Dep't of Health and Human Servs.*, 690 F.2d 252 (D.C. Cir. 1982) (FOIA exemption 4 protects from public disclosure confidential commercial or financial information obtained from a person outside of the government). Information in support of this request for confidential treatment and response to Section 0.459(b) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F. R. § 0.459(b), is provided below.

(1) Identification of the specific information for which confidential treatment is sought. The information for which Verizon seeks confidential treatment is competitively sensitive particularized demand data which, if made available to competitors and alternative providers, would provide such entities with valuable information regarding Verizon's customer base in particular exchanges within a state.

(2) Identification of the Commission proceeding in which the information was submitted or a description of the circumstances giving rise to the submission. Mid-year TRS and Regulatory Fee Exogenous Cost Price Cap filing for Tariff FCC Nos. 1, 11, and 16, Access Services, and Tariff FCC No. 14, Facilities for Interstate Access,, under Transmittal No. 1253 which is being filed on 15 days' notice pursuant to the Commission's Tariff Streamlining Order.

(3) Explanation of the degree to which the information is commercial or financial, or contains a trade secret or is privileged. The demand data for which Verizon requests confidential treatment is commercial and financial information, not routinely available for public inspection. *See, e.g.*, 47 C.F.R. §0.457(d). Verizon has not filed this type or level of data considers this valuable information at the level of services and location. Similarly, the Commission has consistently treated information relating to carriers' demand data as protected confidential information not subject to public inspection. *See e.g., John E. Wall, Jr.; On Request for Inspection of Records*, 22 FCC Rcd 2561 ¶ 3 (2007) (“the records sought by Wall may be withheld pursuant to FOIA Exemption 4 [because]... the information sought would result in competitive harm by enabling competitors to identify demand for individual types of services, thereby targeting facility construction and service marketing to the detriment of Verizon Business”).

(4) Explanation of the degree to which the information concerns a service that is subject to competition. This data relates to demand in a highly competitive marketplace in which Verizon is subject to actual and potential competition.

(5) Explanation of how disclosure of information could result in substantial competitive harm. Disclosure of confidential financial information “could allow competitors already serving particular markets to respond to new entry or allow other competitors to free ride on the efforts of the first new entrants to identify areas where competition is more likely to be successful [and] could also provide ‘valuable information about where [a] filer is focusing its efforts to acquire customers and [about] the overall financial health of the filer.’” *Center for Public Integrity v. FCC*, 505 F. Supp. 2d 106, 116 (D.D.C. 2007). This information would assist competitors in targeting their marketing efforts to the areas with the largest concentrations of customers. Verizon is subject to actual and potential competition with respect to all of its services. The demand data provides a roadmap detailing the level of success Verizon is experiencing with specific types of services in particular geographic areas. If competitors are able to gain an unfair advantage by obtaining such a detailed picture of Verizon's strategies and successes, they may be able to anticipate Verizon's strategic initiatives in a targeted way.

September 16, 2013

Page 3

(6) Identification of any measures taken by the submitting party to prevent unauthorized disclosure. Verizon does not customarily make available to the public this type or level of data at the level of services and location.

(7) Identification of whether the information is available to the public and the extent of any previous disclosure of the information to third parties. This demand data for which confidentiality is sought are not made available to the public and has not been disclosed to third parties.

(8) Justification of the period during which the submitting party asserts that material should not be available for public disclosure. Given the competitively sensitive nature of the demand information for which confidentiality is requested, Verizon requests that confidential treatment apply indefinitely. This period of time is necessary to prevent an unfair competitive advantage for Verizon's competitors who may be able to use historical data to gain insight into Verizon's competitive offerings and strategies.

(9) Any other information that the party seeking confidential treatment believes may be useful in assessing whether its request for confidentiality should be granted. Here, as described above, the information for which the exemption is requested is confidential demand information, submitted by Verizon, a non-government entity and thus should be considered confidential. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4); *Nat'l Parks and Conservation Ass'n v. Morton*, 498 F.2d 765, 770 (D.C. Cir. 1974) (information submitted to the government involuntarily is considered to be "confidential" if disclosure is likely to harm substantially the competitive position of the person from whom the information was obtained); *see also Critical Mass Energy Project v. Nuclear Regulatory Comm'n*, 975 F.2d 871, 873 (D.C. Cir. 1992).

Therefore, pursuant to Sections 0.457 and 0.459 of the Commission's rules, Verizon respectfully requests that the demand data filed herewith be treated under the Commission's rules as not available for public inspection. Verizon additionally requests that its information not be included in any publication while this request is pending. Verizon respectfully requests that the Commission grant confidential treatment to certain exchange level detail end user demand information as well as Access Reduction demand and Price out data, submitted in support of Transmittal No.1253, and that such information be subject to the standard protective order provided for in the Tariff Streamlining Order.

Pursuant to the non-disclosure agreement that provides for review of information granted confidential treatment by interested parties, for the specific purpose of review and comment on the instant transmittal only, Verizon will provide access and review of such information to signatories of such an agreement at the location listed below.

All correspondence and inquiries in connection with this request should be forwarded to Frederick Moacdieh, Executive Director, Federal Regulatory Affairs, via facsimile on (202) 336-7922 or by hand-delivery to 1300 I Street, NW, Suite 400 West, Washington, DC 20005.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "F. Moacdieh", is written over a horizontal line.