**STATEMENT OF  
COMMISSIONER JESSICA ROSENWORCEL**

**APPROVING IN PART, DISSENTING IN PART**

Re: *Advanced Methods to Target and Eliminate Unlawful Robocalls*, CG Docket No. 17-59

Picture this: A family sits down to dinner. Two parents, two kids, two jobs, and too little time in the day. This time around the table is special. It’s sacred. It’s a reprieve from the unrelenting chaos and hubbub of everyday life. I know it well because this is true for my family—and so many others.

But night after night our family bliss is interrupted—by Rachel from cardmember services, by someone claiming to be from the IRS, or by some distant voice offering me a cruise or vacation or subscription or something else I do not want, did not ask for, and do not need.

I don’t think my family is all that unusual. I think our experience is unbelievably common. In fact, this agency has the numbers to prove it. Year in and year out, robocalls are the largest single category of consumer complaints at the FCC. By one count, there were 2.5 billion robocalls in the United States last month. That means more than 4,700 robocalls have been made since I started talking a minute ago. That’s insane.

So it’s a good thing that this agency is taking action and making the cessation of these vexing calls a priority. That’s why I support today’s action to allow carriers to block calls from invalid, unallocated, and unassigned numbers and permit carriers to block calls when the subscriber to a particular number asks for that number to be blocked in order to prevent spoofing. Maybe it will help prevent robocalls. I certainly hope so.

But let’s be honest: This is tepid stuff. We need to bring the heat. My blood boils when robocalls come in night after night after night and these strange voices and their scams hold up my line and invade my home. That’s why the FCC needs to do more—a lot more—than the small-bore stuff we do today.

Moreover, I think even what we do here has a real flaw. While the agency offers carriers the ability to limit calls from what are likely to be fraudulent actors, it fails to prevent them from charging consumers for this service. So this is the kicker: the FCC takes action to ostensibly reduce robocalls but then makes sure you can pay for the privilege. If you ask me, that’s ridiculous. Come on. It’s an insult to consumers who are fed up with these nuisance calls. So on this aspect of today’s decision I choose to dissent.