

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

This is an unofficial announcement of Commission action. Release of the full text of a Commission order constitutes official action. See MCI v. FCC, 515 F.2d 385 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

March 12, 2015

CONTACT:

Matthew Berry (202) 418-2005 Email: Matthew.Berry@fcc.gov

News Media Information: (202) 418-0500

Internet: http://www.fcc.gov TTY: (888) 835-5322

LEGAL SUMMARY OF FCC COMMISSIONER AJIT PAI'S STATEMENT DISSENTING FROM THE FCC'S DECISION TO ADOPT PRESIDENT OBAMA'S PLAN TO REGULATE THE INTERNET

- In adopting President Obama's plan to regulate the Internet, the FCC violated the procedural requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA).
 - The FCC never proposed the rules being adopted, violating the APA's notice-and-comment requirement. In last year's *Notice*, the FCC proposed rules under section 706 of the Telecommunications Act. Every single proposal and every single tentative conclusion in last year's *Notice* was tailored to avoid reclassifying broadband as a Title II service. Yet that's exactly what the FCC does in this *Order*.
 - No one could have anticipated the number or nature of the hoops the Order would jump through to reclassify broadband. Nor could anyone have anticipated the Order's 49 separate forbearance decisions; its decision to subject interconnection to Title II as a "component" of broadband Internet access service; its decision to amend agency rules regarding mobile broadband; or its adoption of an omnivorous "Internet conduct" standard, the scope of which remains uncertain.
 - The FCC cannot rely on President Obama's YouTube directive to the agency cure these
 deficiencies. The President's video was not approved by FCC commissioners, published in the
 Federal Register, or subject to public comment.
- President Obama's plan to regulate the Internet also violates the Communications Act.
 - Neither the text of the Act nor FCC precedent allows the agency to reclassify broadband Internet access service as a Title II telecommunications service. In 1996, President Clinton and Congress decided that "any" service that "provides access to the Internet" would be an information service. In turn, the FCC has *never* classified an Internet Service Provider as a telecommunications carrier. Instead, the FCC has determined each and every time since the Clinton Administration's *Stevens Report* that Internet access always involves more than mere transmission—and thus is an information service.
 - Section 332 of the Communications Act independently bars the FCC from reclassifying mobile broadband Internet access service as a Title II telecommunications service.
 - The text, structure, and Congressional intent all make clear that section 706 of the Telecommunications Act does not give the FCC any independent authority.
 - The *Order* invents an entirely new method of forbearance analysis that doesn't adhere to the forbearance criteria in the Act or the FCC's precedents.