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By the Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau:

# Introduction

1. In this order, we address a Request for Review and Waiver by the Houston Independent School District (Houston) involving a decision by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) that Houston did not meet the requirements for additional E-Rate program[[1]](#footnote-3) funding to match state funding in connection with its funding year 2017 E-Rate application. Specifically, we grant Houston a limited waiver to amend its funding year 2017 FCC Form 471 application for the sole purpose of including the additional funding to match the state funding.[[2]](#footnote-4) This limited waiver will encourage the deployment of high-speed connections and permit Houston to make use of state funding that was made available for special construction projects for Texas schools.

# Background

1. The E-Rate program provides eligible schools, libraries, and consortia that include eligible schools and libraries funding for the purchase of Internet access, internal connections, basic maintenance of internal connections, managed internal broadband services, telecommunications, and telecommunications services.[[3]](#footnote-5) Applicants complete an FCC Form 471 to request E-Rate supported services.[[4]](#footnote-6) They may apply for universal service discounts ranging from 20% to 90% of the price of eligible services (based on indicators of the applicant’s need), and must pay the balance.[[5]](#footnote-7) The percentage of the price paid by USAC for eligible services is generally referred to as an applicant’s “discount rate,”[[6]](#footnote-8) and the amount paid by the applicant is generally referred to as the “non-discount share.”[[7]](#footnote-9)
2. The Commission’s rules provide for additional discounts beyond an applicant’s discount rate under section 54.505(c) for certain special construction projects.[[8]](#footnote-10) For example, E-Rate program applicants may receive an additional discount in E-Rate support beyond their normal discount rate to match funding provided by state governments (or, for Tribal applicants, funding provided by state governments, Tribal governments, or a federal agency) for special construction projects. As a result, an applicant’s discount rate can be increased up to an additional 10% to match state funding on a one dollar-to-one dollar basis for special construction funding requests that meet the Commission’s long-term capacity target for the E-Rate program.[[9]](#footnote-11) For school wide area networks, that target is to have capacity scalable to 10 Gbps per 1,000 students.[[10]](#footnote-12) This additional E-Rate funding is available only for special construction funding requests.[[11]](#footnote-13) Total E-Rate discount rates with matching funds may not exceed 100%.[[12]](#footnote-14)
3. On May 11, 2017, Houston filed an FCC Form 471 application requesting E-Rate support for special construction of leased dark fiber to connect one of its schools to a wide area network.[[13]](#footnote-15) At that time, because state funding was not available for special construction projects, Houston’s application did not include a request for an increased discount rate to match state funding. Later, on June 12, 2017, Texas approved a budget that appropriated state funding for special construction projects for Texas schools,[[14]](#footnote-16) and the Texas Education Agency formally issued an award of state funding to Houston for its project on September 7, 2017.[[15]](#footnote-17) Houston schools were closed at the time of the award, however, due to damage from Hurricane Harvey, which made landfall in Texas on August 25, 2017.[[16]](#footnote-18)
4. On December 8, 2017, USAC issued a funding commitment of $118,641 for Houston’s funding request that did not include an increased discount rate accounting for state match funding.[[17]](#footnote-19) Because it was dealing with the effects of Hurricane Harvey, Houston was not able submit documentation to increase its funding request for additional E-Rate discounts to match the state funds until December 14, 2017, less than a week after USAC had already issued the funding commitment.[[18]](#footnote-20) Houston filed this Request for Review and Waiver to the Commission and an appeal to USAC simultaneously on February 2, 2018, asking to increase its funding commitment on account of the state funds.[[19]](#footnote-21) USAC denied the appeal filed to it on May 15, 2018.[[20]](#footnote-22)

# Discussion

1. We find, based on the circumstances presented, that Houston satisfied the Commission’s requirements for receiving additional E-Rate discounts to match funding provided by Texas for special construction projects. Further, we find that Houston was unable to submit the necessary documentation to USAC to update its FCC Form 471 to seek support for the additional funds before issuance of USAC’s commitment, despite its diligent efforts, because it was dealing with the aftereffects of Hurricane Harvey.[[21]](#footnote-23) Thus, we find that it is in the public interest to grant Houston a limited waiver to update its FCC Form 471 application to request funding to match the funding provided by Texas.[[22]](#footnote-24)
2. Houston’s funding request is for a special construction project that is consistent with the Commission’s long-term connectivity target for wide area networks for schools.[[23]](#footnote-25) Further, Houston has provided evidence that Texas will provide 5% of special construction costs for the project.[[24]](#footnote-26) Although Texas had no mechanism to provide applicants with additional funding for special construction projects at the time Houston initially filed its FCC Form 471 application, Texas later adopted legislation that allowed for additional funding for special construction projects and set aside funds for Houston’s project.
3. Consistent with this waiver, we find that Houston is eligible for an increased funding commitment given the additional funds that Texas has set aside for it. Under the E-Rate program rules, an applicant’s discount rate can be increased, up to an additional 10%, to match state funding on a one dollar-to-one dollar basis. The Texas Education Agency agreed to pay for $6,591, which is 5% of special construction costs. Accordingly, we direct USAC to match the $6,591 in funding provided by the Texas Education Agency and increase Houston’s funding commitment from $118,641 to $125,232.

# Ordering Clauses

1. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and sections 0.91, 0.291, 1.3, 54.504(a), 54.505(f) and 54.722(a) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3, 54.504(a), 54.505(f) and 54.722(a), that 54.504(a) of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(a) IS WAIVED for the petitioner to the limited extent provided herein, the above-referenced Request for Review and Waiver IS GRANTED, and the application at issue IS REMANDED to USAC.
2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to section 1.102(b)(1) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 1.102(b)(1), this Order SHALL BE EFFECTIVE upon release.
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