**DA 19-1279**

**Released: December 30, 2019**

**STREAMLINED RESOLUTION OF REQUESTS RELATED TO ACTIONS BY THE UNIVERSAL SERVICE ADMINISTRATIVE COMPANY**

**CC Docket No. 02-6**

Pursuant to our procedure for resolving requests for review, requests for waiver, and petitions for reconsideration of decisions related to actions taken by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) that are consistent with precedent (collectively, Requests), the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) grants, dismisses, or denies the following Requests.[[1]](#footnote-3) The deadline for filing petitions for reconsideration or applications for review concerning the disposition of any of these Requests is 30 days from release of this Public Notice.[[2]](#footnote-4)

**Schools and Libraries (E-Rate)**

**CC Docket No. 02-6**

Dismissed as Moot[[3]](#footnote-5)

North County Supervisory Union 31, VT, Application No. 181014713, Request for Waiver and/or Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 19, 2019)

Dismissed for Failure to Comply with the Commission’s Basic Filing Requirements[[4]](#footnote-6)

North Coast Opportunities Head Start Child Development Program, CA, Application No. 181017605, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (file Dec. 17, 2018)

Dismissed on Reconsideration[[5]](#footnote-7)

Janesville School District, WI, Application No. 171044514, Petition for Reconsideration, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Sept. 3, 2019)

Dismissed on Reconsideration—Untimely Filed[[6]](#footnote-8)

Jamestown Public School District #1, ND, Application No. 191029732, Petition for Reconsideration, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 23, 2019)

Granted[[7]](#footnote-9)

*Incorrect Service Start Date on FCC Form 486*[[8]](#footnote-10)

Timberland Regional Library, WA, Application No. 181020256, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 6, 2019)

*Late-Filed FCC Form 471*[[9]](#footnote-11)

North Lakes Academy, MN, Application No. 191042185, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed May 1, 2019)

*Ministerial and/or Clerical Errors*[[10]](#footnote-12)

Amherst School, TX, Application No. 181020858, Request for Waiver and/or Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 26, 2019)

Escuela Popular Center for Training and Careers, CA, Application No. 191001742, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 7, 2019, supplemented Nov. 26, 2019)

Mount Baker School Dist 507, WA, Application No. 191012443, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 20, 2019)

Oconto Unified School District, WI, Application No. 191014089, Request for Waiver and/or Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 20, 2019)

Pleasant Ridge School District, CA, Application No. 181004019, Request for Waiver and/or Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 7, 2019, supplemented Nov. 19 & 25, 2019)

York Preparatory Academy, SC, Application No. 161042453, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 21, 2019)

*Ministerial and/or Clerical Errors – FRN Omitted from FCC Form 471 Application*[[11]](#footnote-13)

Bessemer Area School District, MI, Application No. 191042745, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 30, 2019)

Shell Lake School District, MI, Application No. 191042802, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Dec. 5, 2019)

*Signed Contract Requirement*[[12]](#footnote-14)

Phoenix Elementary School District 1, AZ, Application No. 1019068, Request for Review and/or Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed June 29, 2018)

*Responsible Party for Recovery of Improperly Disbursed Funds*[[13]](#footnote-15)

Recovery School District, LA, Application No. 821130, Request for Review or Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed May 31, 2018)

*Waiver of Late-filed Waiver Request*[[14]](#footnote-16)

Agri-Business Child Development/NY State Federation of Growers’ and Processors’ Assoc., NY, Application No. 181007565, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Dec. 19, 2018, supplemented Aug. 14, 2019)

Bronxworks, NY, Application No. 181009608, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Dec. 14, 2018)

Evanston Township High School, IL, Application No. 191038524, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 26, 2019)

Tri-County Community Action, MN, Application No. 191007337, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Aug. 12, 2019)

Denied

*Failure to Adequately Respond to USAC's Request for Information*[[15]](#footnote-17)

Shaker Regional School District, NH, Application No. 1038568, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Dec. 6, 2017)

*Failure to File FCC Form 470 for Correct Funding Year*[[16]](#footnote-18)

Douglas Public Schools, MA, No Application No., Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Mar. 29, 2019)

Veritas Academy, MN, No Application No., Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Sept. 19, 2019)

*Failure to Post RFP for 28 Days in Violation of the Competitive Bidding Rule*[[17]](#footnote-19)

Byram Hills, NY, Application No. 191018639, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 6, 2019)

Byram Hills, NY, Application No. 181034335, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 6, 2019)

Lakeland CSD, NY, Application No. 191018597, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 6, 2019)

Lakeland CSD, NY, Application No. 181019613, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 6, 2019)

Mahopac CSD, NY, Application No. 181019599, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 6, 2019)

Mahopac CSD, NY, Application No. 191018569, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 6, 2019)

North Rockland, NY, Application No. 191018544, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 6, 2019)

North Rockland, NY, Application No. 181019560, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 6, 2019)

Peekskill CSD, NY, Application No. 181019484, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 6, 2019)

Peekskill CSD, NY, Application No. 191018519, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 6, 2019)

Pelham UFSD, NY, Application No. 181019468, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 6, 2019)

Pelham UFSD, NY, Application No. 191018495, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 6, 2019)

Pleasantville UFSD, NY, Application No. 181019413, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 6, 2019)

Pleasantville UFSD, NY, Application No. 191018460, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 6, 2019)

Rockland BOCES, NY, Application No. 181019856, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 6, 2019)

Rockland BOCES, NY, Application No. 191018396, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 6, 2019)

*FCC Form 486 – Late-Filed*[[18]](#footnote-20)

Assumption Parish Library, LA, Application No. 171042410, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Dec. 6, 2019)

*Improper Service Provider Involvement - Service Provider Involvement in Technology Plan*[[19]](#footnote-21)

Olton Independent School District, TX, Application No. 476927, Request for Review and/or Waiver, CC 02-6 (filed June 8, 2012)

Responsive Services International, Inc., TX, Application No. 476927, Request for Review and Waiver, CC 02-6 (filed June 8, 2012)

*Intentional Cancellation of Funding*[[20]](#footnote-22)

Somersworth School District, NH, Application No. 171034605, Request for Review and/or Waiver, CC 02-6 (filed Nov. 11, 2019, refiled Dec. 13, 2019)

*Invoice Deadline Extension Requests*[[21]](#footnote-23)

Central Community High School District 71, IL, Application No. 1710042346, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Apr. 2, 2019)

GEUS (Greenville Independent School District), TX, Application No. 181017881, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 20, 2019)

Lake City Public Library, IA, Application No. 181016325, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 14, 2019)

Lakeland School District, PA, Application No. 181021677, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 15, 2019)

Marion County School District, MS, Request for Waiver, Application No. 171001782, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Sept. 19, 2019)

Opp City Schools District, AL, Request for Waiver, Application No. 181039265, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Dec. 10, 2019)

Princeville CUSD 326, IL, Application No. 171013042, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 27, 2018)

Sidney Public Library, MT, Application No. 181042065, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Jan. 17, 2019)

Yenti Honig for Yeled VYalda Early Childhood Center, NY, Application No. 171003399, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Dec. 5, 2019)

*Late-Filed FCC Form 471 Applications*[[22]](#footnote-24)

Oakfield School District, WI, Application No. 191042790, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 27, 2019)

*Ministerial and/or Clerical Errors*[[23]](#footnote-25)

The Arch Community School, MO, Application No. 191007616, Request for Waiver and/or Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 20, 2019)

Mapleton Public School, CO, Application No. 191008546, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed July 12, 2019)

P C M Community School District, IA, Application No. 181007988, Request for Waiver and/or Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 5, 2018)

P C M Community School District, IA, Application No. 191003648, Request for Waiver and/or Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 11, 2019)

Pope John Paul II High School, FL, Application No. 181030743, Request for Waiver and/or Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 11, 2019)

*Relying on FCC Form 470 That Did Not Seek Bids on Types of E-Rate Services Later Requested*[[24]](#footnote-26)

Niagara School District, WI, Application No. 181024166, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 28, 2019)

North Country Supervisory Union 31, VT, Application No. 181015657, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Dec. 3, 2019)

North County Supervisory Union 31, VT, Application No. 181014713, Request for Waiver and/or Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 8, 2019, supplemented Nov. 22, 2019)[[25]](#footnote-27)

Norway-Vulcan Area Schools, MI, Application No. 191039592, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Dec. 6, 2019)

Pacific View Charter School, CA, Application Nos. 181020832, 181020769, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Apr. 11, 2019)

Pope John Paul the Great Catholic High School, VA, Application No. 191037104, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 26, 2019)

South Harrison R-II School District, MO, Application No. 191012131, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 17, 2019)

*Untimely Filed Appeals or Waiver Requests*[[26]](#footnote-28)

Dayton Leadership Academy, OH, Application No. 181043186, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (file Dec. 2, 2019)

Hackensack School District, NJ, Application No. 181026817, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed June 4, 2019, supplemented Oct. 31, 2019)[[27]](#footnote-29)

Mayfair Christian School, OH, Application No. 161055056, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (file Oct. 28, 2019)

Sierra Foothill Charter School, CA, Application No. 181043150, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Mar. 25, 2019)

Stockton Unified School District, CA, Application No. 321303, Request for Review and/or Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Feb. 27, 2018)

For additional information concerning this Public Notice, please contact James Bachtell in the Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, at james.bachtell@fcc.gov or (202) 418-2694.

**- FCC -**

1. *See* *Streamlined Process for Resolving Requests for Review of Decisions by the Universal Service Administrative Company*, CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 02-6, WC Docket Nos. 02-60, 06-122, 08-71, 10-90, 11-42, and 14-58, Public Notice, 29 FCC Rcd 11094 (WCB 2014). Section 54.719(b) of the Commission’s rules provides that any person aggrieved by an action taken by a division of USAC, after first seeking review at USAC, may seek review from the Commission. Section 54.719(c) of the Commission’s rules provides that parties seeking waivers of the Commission’s rules shall seek review directly from the Commission. 47 CFR § 54.719(b)-(c). In this Public Notice, we have reclassified as Requests for Waiver those appeals seeking review of a USAC decision that appropriately should have requested a waiver of the Commission’s rules. Similarly, we have reclassified as Requests for Review those appeals seeking a waiver of the Commission’s rules but that are, in fact, seeking review of a USAC decision. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
2. *See* 47 CFR §§ 1.106(f), 1.115(d); *see also* 47 CFR § 1.4(b)(2) (setting forth the method for computing the amount of time within which persons or entities must act in response to deadlines established by the Commission). [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
3. We are denying North County Supervisory Union 31’s separate appeal relating to this same application on competitive bidding grounds. *See infra* note 25. Thus, we do not reach the merits of this waiver request and dismiss it as moot. *See, e.g., Requests for Waiver and Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Networks and More! et al.*; *Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*,CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 27 FCC Rcd 2564, 2564, para. 1, n.5 (WCB 2012) (dismissing as moot and not considering the merits of an appeal after denying the entire application on separate competitive bidding violations). [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
4. 47 CFR § 54.721 (setting forth general filing requirements for requests for review of decisions issued by USAC); *Wireline Competition Bureau Reminds Parties of Requirements for Request for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrative Company,* CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 02-6, WC Docket Nos. 02-60, 06-122, 10-90, 11-42, 13-184, 14-58, Public Notice, 29 FCC Rcd 13874 (WCB 2014) (reminding parties submitting appeals to the Bureau of the general filing requirements contained in the Commission’s rules which, along with a proper caption and reference to the applicable docket number, require (1) a statement setting forth the party’s interest in the matter presented for review; (2) a full statement of relevant, material facts, with supporting affidavits and documentation; (3) the question presented for review, with reference, where appropriate, to the relevant Commission rule, order, or statutory provision; and (4) a statement of the relief sought and the relevant statutory or regulatory provision pursuant to which such relief is sought).

Additionally, we note that North Coast Opportunities Head Start Child Development Program’s appeal with USAC was untimely filed. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
5. *See, e.g.*, *Requests for Waiver and Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Allan Shivers Library et al.*; *Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*,CC Docket No. 02-6, Order and Order on Reconsideration, 29 FCC Rcd 10356, 10357, para. 2 (WCB 2014) (dismissing petitions for reconsideration that fail to identify any material error, omission, or reason warranting reconsideration, and rely on arguments that have been fully considered and rejected by the Bureau within the same proceeding). [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
6. *See, e.g.*, *Petitions for Reconsideration by Rockwood School District and Yakutat School District; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism,* CC Docket 02-6, Order, 26 FCC Rcd 13004 (WCB 2011) (dismissing two petitions for reconsideration because they were filed more than 30 days after the Bureau's decisions); *Petitions for Reconsideration by Lincoln Parish School Board et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism,* CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 26 FCC Rcd 7992, para. 1 n.1 (WCB 2011) (stating that the Bureau has the authority under 47 C.F.R § l.l06(p) to dismiss petitions for reconsideration of a Commission action that plainly do not warrant consideration by the Commission, such as petitions that are late-filed). [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
7. We remand these applications to USAC and direct USAC to complete its review of the applications and issue a funding commitment or a denial based on a complete review and analysis, no later than 90 calendar days from the release date of this Public Notice. In remanding these applications to USAC, we make no finding as to the ultimate eligibility of the services or the petitioners’ applications. We also waive sections 54.507(d) and 54.514(a) of the Commission’s rules and direct USAC to waive any procedural deadline that might be necessary to effectuate our ruling. *See* 47 CFR § 54.507(d) (requiring non-recurring services to be implemented by September 30 following the close of the funding year); 47 CFR § 54.514(a) (codifying the invoice filing deadline). [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
8. *See, e.g.*, *Request for Review and/or Waiver by Glendale Unified School District, Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 21 FCC Rcd 1040 (WCB 2006); *Request for Waiver by Harvey Public Library District, Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism,* CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 23 FCC Rcd 15419 (WCB 2008) (granting waiver requests when the applicants inadvertently listed the wrong service start date on their FCC Forms 486).

Consistent with precedent, we also find good cause exists to waive section 54.720(a) or (b) of the Commission’s rules, which requires that petitioners file their appeals within 60 days of an adverse USAC decision. *See, e.g., Requests for Review and/or Waiver of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Barrow County School District et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 26 FCC Rcd 4028, 4029, para. 2 (WCB 2011) (waiving the filing deadline for petitioners that filed the appeal as soon they received actual notice of the mistake). [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
9. *Requests for Waiver and Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Abbotsford School District et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 27 FCC Rcd 15299, 15300, para. 2 (WCB 2012) (granting waiver where the applicant filed within a reasonable period after the close of the filing window despite delays beyond its control). [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
10. *See*, *e.g.*, *Requests for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Archer Public Library et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 23 FCC Rcd 15518, 15521 n.19 (WCB 2008) (permitting applicant to correct its FCC Form 471 to conform to the source document and to correct a mistaken assignment of funding amounts between two schools); *Requests for Waiver and Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Ann Arbor Public Schools et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 25 FCC Rcd 17319, 17320, para. 2 & nn. 5, 9, 20 (WCB 2010) (permitting applicant to correct a date on its FCC Form 471; permitting applicant to add an omitted item that was on its source list; permitting applicant to correct a mislabeling of a service category on its FCC Form 471).

For Amherst School and York Preparatory Academy, we waive the appeal filing deadline. *See, e.g., Requests for Review and/or Waiver of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by ABC Unified School District et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 26 FCC Rcd 11019, 11019, para. 2 (WCB 2011) (*ABC Unified School District Order*) (finding good cause exists to waive section 54.720(b) of the Commission’s rules, which requires that petitioners seek review of a USAC decision within 60 days because petitioners were the Commission or USAC only a few days late). [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
11. *See*, *e.g*., *Ann Arbor Order*, 25 FCC Rcd at 17319-20, para. 2 & n.5, 20 (permitting applicant to include item omitted from FCC Form 471, but included on its source list). The petitioner did not realize this funding request was omitted until the application window for FY2019 had closed. The petitioner then filed late an application for these services in the application number listed above. We direct USAC to include this late-filed funding request on one of the petitioner’s timely-filed FY2019 applications or to permit its untimely-filed application to be considered in-window. [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
12. *See, e.g.*, *Request for Waiver of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Barberton City School District et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 23 FCC Rcd 15526, 15529-30, para. 7 (WCB 2008); *Requests for Review and/or Waiver of the Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Amphitheater Unified School District 10 et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism,* CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 28 FCC Rcd 7536, 7536-37, para. 2 (WCB 2013) (granting waivers of the signed contract requirement in instances where applicants had a legally binding agreement in place but actually signed the contract shortly after submitting their FCC Form 471 applications). [↑](#footnote-ref-14)
13. S*ee Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service et al*., CC Docket No. 96-45 et al., Order on Reconsideration and Fourth Report and Order, 19 FCC Rcd 15252, 15257, para. 15 (2004) (recovery action is directed to the party or parties that committed the rule or statutory violation in question).

We also waive the appeal filing deadline for Recovery School District. *See, e.g.*, *ABC Unified School District Order,* 26 FCC Rcd at 11019, para. 2 (waiving the filing deadline for petitioners that submitted their appeals to the Commission or USAC only a few days late). [↑](#footnote-ref-15)
14. *See, e.g*., *ABC Unified School District Order,* 26 FCC Rcd at 11019, para. 2 (granting waivers of filing deadline for petitioners that submitted their appeals to the Commission or USAC only a few days late or because they submitted their appeals or waiver requests within a reasonable period of time after receiving actual notice of USAC’s adverse decision). We make no finding on the underlying issues in these appeals and remand these applications back to USAC to make a determination on the merits. *See supra* note 7. [↑](#footnote-ref-16)
15. *See, e.g.*, *Petitions for Reconsideration by Blessed Sacrament School et al.; School and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 30 FCC Rcd 14274, 14282, para. 20 (WCB 2015) (denying after applicant failed, after multiple requests, to adequately provide documents that go to fundamental requirements of E-Rate program and was not mere staff clerical mistakes). [↑](#footnote-ref-17)
16. Both Veritas Academy and Douglas Public Schoolsseek a waiver to file FCC Forms 470 for previous funding years to allow FCC Forms 471 to be retroactively created. Consistent with precedent, we deny the requests noting that an FCC Form 470 must be filed in the year E-Rate funds are sought to ensure proper competitive bidding.  *See, e.g.*, *Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Aberdeen School District; School and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 22 FCC Rcd 8757, 8763, para. 10 (2007) (denying appeal where applicant failed to file a new FCC Form 470 and solicit bids in the year for which it sought services, thereby circumventing the competitive bidding process). [↑](#footnote-ref-18)
17. *See, e.g., Requests for Review and/or Waiver of Albuquerque School District, et al., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 26 FCC Rcd 5878, 5880, para. 4 (WCB 2011); *Al-Ihsan Academy, et al., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 26 FCC Rcd 16415, 16419, para. 9 (WCB 2011) (requiring that request for proposals be available for bidders for the entire 28-day competitive bidding period even if the FCC Form 470 was properly posted). [↑](#footnote-ref-19)
18. *See, e.g.*, *Requests for Waiver of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Archdiocese of New Orleans et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism,* CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 31 FCC Rcd 11747, 11750, para. 10 (WCB 2016) (granting relief only for late-filed FCC Forms 486 that were filed no later than 120 days after the last day to receive service for the funding request at issue and where the applicants have demonstrated good cause for the late filing). [↑](#footnote-ref-20)
19. *See, e.g.*, *Requests for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Central Islip Free Union School District et al; School and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism,* 26 FCC Rcd 8630, 8636-37, 8638, paras. 13, 14 (WCB 2011) (upholding the denial of funding after finding a prospective service provider assisted in the preparation of a technology plan that potentially impaired the ability to hold a fair and open competitive bidding process); s*ee also Request for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Marana Unified School District, Schools and Libraries Universal Support Mechanism,* Order, CC Docket No. 02-6, 27 FCC Rcd 1525, 1527 para 4 (WCB 2012) (noting that the Commission has consistently stated that the competitive bidding process must be fair and open and must not have been compromised because of improper conduct by the applicant, service provider, or both parties; and a service provider participating in the competitive bidding process cannot be involved in the preparation of the entity’s technology plan, FCC Form 470 or RFP). [↑](#footnote-ref-21)
20. *See, e.g.*, *Request for Review of a Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Le Jardin Academy; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 29 FCC Rcd 11792, 11792, para. 4 (WCB 2014) (denying a request to reinstate funding purposefully canceled by the applicant). [↑](#footnote-ref-22)
21. *See, e.g*., *Requests for Waiver of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Ada School District et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism,* CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 31 FCC Rcd 3834, 3835, para. 7 (WCB 2016) (denying requests for waiver of the Commission’s invoice extension rule for petitioners that failed to demonstrate extraordinary circumstances justifying a waiver); *see also Modernizing the E-Rate Program for Schools and Libraries*, WC Docket No. 13-184, Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 29 FCC Rcd 8870, 8966, para. 240 (2014) (establishing that it is generally not in the public interest to waive the Commission’s invoicing rules absent extraordinary circumstances); 47 CFR § 54.514. [↑](#footnote-ref-23)
22. *See, e.g*., *Requests for Waiver and Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Academy of Math and Science et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 25 FCC Rcd 9256, 9261-62, para. 13 (2010) (denying requests for waiver of the FCC Form 471 filing window deadline where petitioners failed to present special circumstances justifying waiver of our rules). [↑](#footnote-ref-24)
23. *Requests for Waiver and Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Assabet Valley Regional Vocational District; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism,* CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 27 FCC Rcd 1924, 1925, para. 1 (WCB 2012) (finding petitioners had not demonstrated good cause to justify waivers permitting changes to the applicants’ E-Rate applications). [↑](#footnote-ref-25)
24. *See, e.g.*, *Request for Review of a Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Albert Lea Schools et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 24 FCC Rcd 4533 (WCB 2009); *Petition for Reconsideration by Chicago Public Schools; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 29 FCC Rcd 9289 (WCB 2014) (denying appeals of applicants that filed FCC Forms 470 that did not include the types of services for which the applicants later requested E-Rate funding). [↑](#footnote-ref-26)
25. North County Supervisory Union 31 also filed a request to correct the identification of a school receiving equipment for FRN 1899023967. Because we are denying the entire application on competitive bidding grounds, we do not reach the merits of that waiver request and dismiss it as moot. *See supra* note 3. [↑](#footnote-ref-27)
26. *See, e.g*., *Requests for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Agra Public Schools I-134 et al*.; *Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 25 FCC Rcd 5684 (WCB 2010); *Requests for Waiver or Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Bound Brook School District et al*.; *Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 29 FCC Rcd 5823 (WCB 2014) (denying requests for review and/or waiver on the grounds that the petitioners failed to 1) submit their appeals either to the Commission or to USAC within 60 days; or failed to submit their waiver requests to the Commission within 60 days as required by the Commission’s rules; and 2) did not show special circumstances necessary for the Commission to waive the deadline). [↑](#footnote-ref-28)
27. Although Hackensack School District argues that it timely filed an appeal with USAC, the petitioner failed to provide any evidence that it successfully filed the document on the date it claimed. [↑](#footnote-ref-29)