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By the Chief, Media Bureau:

# INTRODUCTION

1. In this *Declaratory Ruling and Memorandum Opinion and Order,[[1]](#footnote-3)* the Media Bureau (Bureau) addresses a petition for declaratory ruling (Petition) filed by Grupo Multimedia LLC (Grupo Multimedia) and Deportes y Musica Comunicaciones LLC (Deportes) (collectively, the Petitioners) on November 20, 2017. Deportes is the licensee of KQMX(FM), Lost Hills, California, and KRPH(FM), Morristown, Arizona (collectively, the Stations). [[2]](#footnote-4) The Petition asks the Commission to exercise its discretion to permit SMG to exceed the 25 percent foreign indirect ownership benchmark set out in Section 310(b)(4) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, (the Act),[[3]](#footnote-5) pursuant to Section 310(b)(4) and the Commission’s recent *Foreign Ownership Order*.[[4]](#footnote-6)  Petitioners seek authorization for Roberto Medina to transfer his majority interest in Grupo Multimedia to the minority owner, SMG. In addition, we address the above-captioned application seeking Commission consent to the transfer of control (Application) of Grupo Multimedia and Deportes. The Petition and the Application are unopposed. As discussed below, we find that it will serve the public interest to grant the Petition, subject to the conditions specified below, and the Application.

# Background

1. *Foreign Ownership of SMG.* In this case, the Petitioners seek authority for 100 percent foreign ownership of SMG, the proposed 100 percent owner of Grupo Multimedia, U.S. parent company of licensee Deportes. By the proposed transaction, SMG would increase its 25 percent ownership interest in Grupo Multimedia to 100 percent by acquiring the 75 percent ownership interest that Robert Medina currently holds. After the proposed transaction, SMG would hold 100 percent of the direct voting and equity interests in Grupo Multimedia and indirectly in the licensee. Grupo Multimedia would continue to hold 100 percent of the direct voting and equity interest in Deportes.
2. Petitioners assert that grant of the Petition would advance the Commission’s public interest goals of encouraging foreign investment and ownership diversity in broadcasting while permitting “Deportes to compete more effectively and better serve the public interest.”[[5]](#footnote-7) Further, the Petitioners explain that the Stations “have been in danger of failing,” thus precipitating principal member, Roberto Medina’s “desires to transfer” his majority interest to SMG to avoid “depriv[ing] Hispanic listeners of what was always planned to be a valuable additional Spanish language program service in the Stations’ respective communities.”[[6]](#footnote-8) In addition, the Petition details the extensive broadcasting experience of SMG Managing Member, Juan Carlos Rodriguez, including his position as President of Univision Deportes since 2012.[[7]](#footnote-9) The Petitioners state that no national security concerns are implicated by the Petition.
3. *Section 310(b)(4) Standard.* We review the foreign ownership of SMG under Section 310(b)(4) of the Act, which states that “[n]o broadcast … license shall be granted to or held by … any corporation directly or indirectly controlled by any other corporation of which more than one-fourth of the capital stock is owned of record or voted by aliens, their representatives, or by a foreign government or representative thereof, or by any corporation organized under the laws of a foreign country, if the Commission finds that the public interest will be served by the refusal or revocation of such license.”[[8]](#footnote-10)
4. In the *2013 Broadcast Clarification Ruling*, the Commission clarified the policies and procedures for evaluating potential foreign investment in broadcast licensees under Section 310(b)(4) of the Act.[[9]](#footnote-11) Subsequently, in the recent *Foreign Ownership Order*,the Commission modified the broadcast licensee foreign ownership review process by extending the streamlined rules and procedures developed for review of foreign ownership of common carrier and certain aeronautical licensees under Section 310(b)(4) to the broadcast context, with certain limited exceptions.[[10]](#footnote-12) Further, in evaluating petitions relating to foreign ownership, the Commission affords appropriate deference to the expertise of the Executive Branch agencies on issues related to national security, law enforcement, foreign policy, and trade policy.[[11]](#footnote-13)
5. The Commission has explained that in the context of the Section 310(b)(4) review for broadcast licensees, the 25 percent benchmark “is only a trigger for the exercise of our discretion, which we then exercise based upon a more searching analysis of the circumstances of each case.”[[12]](#footnote-14) Moreover, the *Foreign Ownership Order* expressly provides for processing of petitions involving 100 percent foreign ownership of a broadcast licensee’s parent,[[13]](#footnote-15) such as here. To exercise in a meaningful way the discretion conferred by statute, the Commission must receive detailed information from the applicant sufficient for the Commission to make the public interest finding the statute requires.[[14]](#footnote-16)

# DISCUSSION

1. *Foreign Ownership Declaratory Ruling.* We find that grant of this unopposed Petition is in the public interest. Specifically, we find that grant of the Petition is likely to: (1) increase the likelihood of continued service to the Stations’ communities by authorizing investment by individuals who are ready, willing, and able to operate the Stations based on their current involvement and extensive broadcasting experience; (2) facilitate foreign investment in the U.S. broadcast radio market; and (3) potentially encourage reciprocal investment opportunities for U.S. companies in Mexico.
2. As stated above, the Petitioners propose 100 percent foreign ownership of SMG, which would be the sole owner of Grupo Multimedia, U.S. parent company of licensee Deportes. Following the procedures outlined in the *2013 Broadcast Clarification Ruling* and *Foreign Ownership Order*, we have consulted with the relevant Executive Branch agencies with expertise on issues related to national security, law enforcement, foreign policy, and trade policy.[[15]](#footnote-17) The Executive Branch agencies have filed a letter with the Commission stating that they have no objection to grant of the requests and have not requested that we impose any conditions on grant.[[16]](#footnote-18)
3. Accordingly, upon review of the facts and circumstances set out in the Petition, and pursuant to the procedures adopted in the *Foreign Ownership Order*, we find that the public interest would not be served by prohibiting the additional foreign ownership by SMG of Grupo Multimedia, the controlling U.S. parent, in excess of the 25 percent benchmark in Section 310(b)(4) of the Act. Specifically, this *Declaratory Ruling* grants, pursuant to Section 1.5001(i) of the rules, the Petitioners’ request for specific approval for 100 percent of the equity and voting interests in SMG to be held by two Mexican citizens: Juan Carlos Rodriguez (70 percent) and Francisco Gonzalez (30 percent).[[17]](#footnote-19)
4. Scope of the Ruling. This *Declaratory Ruling* covers SMG’s U.S.-organized subsidiaries and affiliates, whether existing or formed or acquired subsequently, that are wholly owned and controlled by, or under 100 percent common ownership and control with, SMG, provided that SMG and the subsidiary and/or affiliate remain in compliance with the terms and conditions of this *Declaratory Ruling* and the Commission’s rules.[[18]](#footnote-20) The flexibility granted herein reflects the reality that it is not uncommon for companies to make changes within their corporate structure that in no way affect the operation, management, or control of those companies. This flexibility does not detract in any way from the requirement under the Act and our rules to apply for and receive prior Commission consent to a voluntary assignment of license or transfer of control or to seek a new declaratory ruling before its foreign ownership exceeds the terms or conditions of this *Declaratory Ruling*.
5. Conditions.We place certain conditions on Deportes and its direct and indirect owners based on the facts on record, the policies set out in the *2013 Broadcast Clarification Ruling,* the *Foreign Ownership Order*, and Sections 1.5000 *et.seq.*[[19]](#footnote-21)of the rules adopted therein. [[20]](#footnote-22) Specifically, we require SMG to obtain prior Commission approval for any change in its ownership before any individual foreign investor or “group” that is not specifically approved by this *Declaratory Ruling* acquires a direct or indirect voting or equity interest in SMG, whether or not the interest is insulated.[[21]](#footnote-23) If, at any time, SMG knows, or has reason to know, that it is no longer in compliance with this *Declaratory Ruling*, Section 310(b) of the Act, or the Commission’s foreign ownership rules then in effect, it shall file a statement with the Commission explaining the circumstances within 30 days of the date that it knew or had reason to know that it was no longer in compliance and how it intends to correct the overage, either by filing a new petition for declaratory ruling or by reducing the foreign interest.[[22]](#footnote-24)
6. *Transfer of Control Application.* Following our routine processing procedures, we have reviewed the subject Application for compliance with the various statutory and regulatory requirements relating to transfer of control applications. Other than the foreign ownership issue addressed above, the record does not raise any issues that might preclude grant. Notably, the Application is unopposed. We conclude that grant of the Application is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity under Section 310(d) of the Act.[[23]](#footnote-25)

# Ordering Clauses

1. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 310(b)(4) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 310(b)(4), and pursuant to authority delegated to the Media Bureau in section 0.283 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 0.283, the Petition for Declaratory Ruling filed by Grupo Multimedia and Deportes IS GRANTED to the extent specified in this*Declaratory Ruling* and subject to the conditions specified herein*.*
2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 310(d) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 310(d), and pursuant to authority delegated to the Media Bureau in section 0.283 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 0.283, the transfer of control application filed by Deportes on November 20, 2017 (File Nos. BTCH-20171120AAK, AAL) IS GRANTED.
3. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this *Declaratory Ruling* *and Memorandum Opinion and Order* SHALL BE EFFECTIVE upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Albert Shuldiner

Chief, Audio Division

Media Bureau
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