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ORDER

**Adopted: April 26, 2018 Released: April 26, 2018**

By the Deputy Chief, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau:

# Introduction

1. By this Order, the Consumer and Government Affairs Bureau (CGB or Bureau) of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) suspends for one year the April 27, 2018 deadline for video relay service (VRS) provider compliance with the Interoperability Profile for Relay User Equipment (RUE Profile).[[1]](#footnote-2) The RUE Profile, a technical standard that has been incorporated by reference in the Commission’s telecommunications relay service (TRS) rules, defines a standard interface between VRS provider services and end user devices equipped with the open-source user software known as the VRS access technology reference platform (VATRP).[[2]](#footnote-3)

# Background

1. In the *2013 VRS Reform Order*, the Commission amended its rules to codify and clarify the requirements for VRS interoperability and user device portability. These rules are intended to enable VRS users: (1) to make and receive VRS calls through any VRS provider, and to choose a different default provider, without changing the VRS device or software used to complete their calls; and (2) to make point-to-point video calls to all other VRS users, irrespective of the default provider of the calling and called party.[[3]](#footnote-4) To implement these requirements, the Commission delegated authority to CGB to adopt technical standards for interoperability and portability, as well as other standards that CGB finds will advance the functional equivalency mandate or improve the availability of TRS in the most efficient manner.[[4]](#footnote-5) The Commission also directed the Managing Director to oversee the Commission’s procurement of an interoperability testing tool, the VATRP, defined as “[a] software product procured by or on behalf of the Commission that provides VRS functionality, including the ability to make and receive VRS and point-to-point calls, dial-around functionality, and the ability to update user registration location, and against which providers may test their own VRS access technology and platforms for compliance with the Commission's interoperability and portability rules.”[[5]](#footnote-6)
2. To achieve interoperability across VRS providers and devices, CGB amended the Commission’s rules on January 17, 2017, to incorporate by reference a number of technical standards for VRS, including (1) the VRS Provider Interoperability Profile, which provides technical specifications for the interfaces between two VRS providers and between a VRS provider and the TRS numbering directory, and (2) the xCard format for contact lists and speed dial lists.[[6]](#footnote-7) Further, to enable a provider’s compliance with these standards to be tested using the VATRP software tool, the Bureau added section 64.621(a)(3), which requires all VRS providers, by April 27, 2018, to ensure that their video communication service platforms and provider-distributed user devices are interoperable with the VATRP, including for point-to-point calls, in accordance with the RUE Profile.[[7]](#footnote-8)
3. *Sorenson Petition*. On May 30, 2017, Sorenson filed a petition seeking reconsideration of the Bureau’s decision to mandate compliance with the RUE Profile, on a number of asserted grounds.[[8]](#footnote-9) In the alternative, Sorenson requests that the RUE Profile compliance deadline be suspended, asserting that a final version of the RUE Profile has not been released, that important details remain undefined, and that a version of the VATRP testing tool that is suitable for interoperability testing has not yet been completed.[[9]](#footnote-10) On July 7, 2017, CGB released a Public Notice seeking comment on the Sorenson Petition.[[10]](#footnote-11) All VRS providers support suspension of the RUE Profile compliance deadline.[[11]](#footnote-12) Consumer Groups support “a brief and finite delay in compliance” if necessary to address implementation issues, subject to sufficient Commission oversight to ensure continued progress toward interoperability.[[12]](#footnote-13)

# Discussion

1. Compliance deadlines may be suspended, amended, or waived, for “good cause shown.”[[13]](#footnote-14) Good cause exists when particular facts would make strict compliance inconsistent with the public interest.[[14]](#footnote-15) The Commission may also take into account considerations of hardship, equity, or more effective implementation of overall policy on an individual basis.[[15]](#footnote-16)
2. We find good cause to suspend the deadline for VRS provider compliance with the RUE Profile. Although the Bureau set the April 27, 2018 compliance deadline based on the expectation that the VATRP would be available to support interoperability testing well before this date,[[16]](#footnote-17) this has not occurred. We conclude that some additional steps are needed to make the VATRP an effective tool for this purpose.[[17]](#footnote-18) Accordingly, we find that it serves the public interest to suspend the RUE Profile compliance deadline for one year, or until April 29, 2019. We expect that this time period will be sufficient to enable completion of the VATRP, as well as to provide a reasonable opportunity for VRS providers to prepare for compliance with the RUE specifications.[[18]](#footnote-19)

# Procedural matters

1. To request materials in accessible formats (such as Braille, large print, electronic files, or audio format), send an e-mail to: [fcc504@fcc.gov](mailto:fcc504@fcc.gov), or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418-0530 (voice), (844) 432-2275 (videophone), or (202) 418-0432 (TTY).

# ORdering Clauses

1. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 4(i), 4(j), and 225 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), (j), 225, and sections 0.141, 0.361, and 1.3 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.141, 0.361, 1.3, the compliance deadline in section 64.621(a)(3) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 64.621(a)(3), as adopted in the *Bureau Interoperability Order*, 32 FCC Rcd 687 (2017), IS SUSPENDED until April 29, 2019.
2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order SHALL BE EFFECTIVE upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Karen Peltz Strauss

Deputy Chief

Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau
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