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Facility ID No. 36406

File No. BRH-20140131AGJ

W235BR, Ithaca, NY

Facility ID No. 144458

File No. BRFT-20140131AGM

W242AB, Ithaca, NY

Facility ID No. 20647

File No. BRFT-20140131AGL

W299BI, Ithaca, NY

Facility ID No. 138598

File No. BRFT-20140131AGK

WHCU(AM), Ithaca, NY

Facility ID No. 18048

File No. BR-20140130ANA

WIII(FM), Cortland, NY

Facility ID No. 9427

File No. BRH-20140130AMU

W262AD, Ithaca, NY

Facility ID No. 9429

File No. BRFT-20140130AMV

WNYY(AM), Ithaca, NY

Facility ID No. 32391

File No. BR-20140130AMS

W249CD, Ithaca, NY

Facility ID No. 156452

File No. BRFT-20140130AMT

WQNY(FM), Ithaca, NY

Facility ID No. 32390

File No. BRH-20140130AMQ

WYXL(FM), Ithaca, NY

Facility ID No. 18051

File No. BRH-20140130AMJ

W244CZ, Ithaca, NY

Facility ID No. 151643

File No. BRFT-20140130AMM

W254BF, Ithaca, NY

Facility ID No. 25008

File No. BRFT-20140130AML

W277BS, Ithaca, NY

Facility ID No. 24216

File No. BRFT-20140130AMK

**Renewal Applications**

**Petition to Deny**

Dear Counsel:

We have before us the applications (“Applications”) of Saga Communications of New England, LLC (“Saga”) for renewal of its licenses for the above-referenced radio stations and FM translators (collectively, “Stations”). We also have before us a Petition to Deny (“Petition”) filed by Finger Lakes Alliance for Independent Media. (“FLAIM”).[[1]](#footnote-1) As discussed below, we deny the Petition, and grant the Applications.

**Background***.* Saga filed the Applications on January 30 and 31, 2014. On January 31, 2014 Saga also completed its acquisition of its sixth full power station in the Ithaca area, WFIZ(AM), Odessa, New York. FLAIM filed the Petition on May 1, 2014. FLAIM urges us to deny the Applications or, in the alternative, conditionally grant them for a term of two years.

**Discussion***.* A petition to denyarenewalapplication must, pursuant to Section 309(d) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act"),[[2]](#footnote-2) provide properly supported allegations of fact that, if true, would establish a substantial and material question of fact that grant of the application would be prima facie inconsistent with Section 309(k) of the Act,[[3]](#footnote-3) which governs our evaluation of an application for license renewal. Specifically, Section 309(k)(1) provides that we are to grant the renewal application if, upon consideration of the application and pleadings, we find that (A) the station has served the public interest, convenience, and necessity; (B) there have been no serious violations of the Act or the Rules; and (C) there have been no other violations that, taken together, constitute a pattern of abuse.[[4]](#footnote-4) If, however, the licensee fails to meet that standard, the Commission may deny the application, after notice and opportunity for a hearing under Section 309(d) of the Act, or grant the application “on terms and conditions that are appropriate, including a renewal for a term less than the maximum otherwise permitted.”[[5]](#footnote-5)

*Local Radio Ownership Rule.* FLAIM points out that, prior to 2010, Ithaca was an Arbitron Metro Market.[[6]](#footnote-6) Based on the size of the Ithaca Metro Market then, the local radio ownership rule permitted Saga to hold attributable interests in up to five stations in the market, no more than three of which were in the same service.[[7]](#footnote-7) Arbitron ceased publishing ratings for the Ithaca Metro Market in 2010, however. When this occurred, the market – for purposes of applying the local radio ownership rule – became defined using the contour overlap methodology.[[8]](#footnote-8) Using this methodology and looking at the principal community contours of the Stations, today, Saga may hold attributable interests in up to eight commercial radio stations, no more than five of which are in the same service.[[9]](#footnote-9)

FLAIM acknowledges that Saga’s ownership of the Stations complies with the Commission’s local radio ownership rule.[[10]](#footnote-10) It thus concedes that Saga’s ownership of the Stations does not raise any issues under Section 309(k)(1)(B) or (C) of the Act. FLAIM however asserts that this ownership violates “the spirit” of the local radio ownership rule.[[11]](#footnote-11) FLAIM appears to advocate that we define the relevant radio market here by relying on another, unspecified, methodology. To the extent that FLAIM believes that the local radio ownership rule as it applies in situations where Arbitron markets are eliminated, does not serve the public interest, it must pursue change via a petition for rulemaking not a challenge to a particular licensee’s renewal applications.[[12]](#footnote-12) For the same reason, we reject FLAIM’s request that we renew the Stations’ licenses only for two years in order to permit the Commission to undertake an *ad hoc* study of the Ithaca radio market.

Finally, we note that FLAIM also asserts that Saga is using FM translators “to circumvent ownership limits by rebroadcasting digital signals from Saga’s FM stations.[[13]](#footnote-13) We previously rejected this very argument when we addressed objections to applications related to W277BS and W240CB, two of Saga’s FM translator stations.[[14]](#footnote-14) We found that the Commission addressed the question of how FM stations may use their additional digital bit rate capacity in 2007 and concluded that FM stations may use such capacity as they wish.[[15]](#footnote-15) To the extent that FLAIM believes the Commission should reverse its 2007 decision due to the unforeseen and unintended consequences stemming from it,[[16]](#footnote-16) FLAIM should file a petition for rulemaking.[[17]](#footnote-17)

*Programming.* FLAIM further alleges that the “current structure of the Ithaca market makes it difficult for diverse viewpoints to purchase broadcast time.”[[18]](#footnote-18) It offers limited evidence in support of this claim, citing the experience of just one individual who was unable to purchase airtime for his programming from Saga. This is insufficient evidence to support such a broad claim. In any event, as Saga points out, it is not required to accept all programming offered by members of the public.[[19]](#footnote-19)

**Conclusion.** We have evaluated the Applications pursuant to Section 309(k) of the Act, and we find that the Stations have served the public interest, convenience, and necessity during the most recent license term. Moreover, we find that there have been no serious violations of the Act or the Rules involving the Stations which, taken together, would constitute a pattern of abuse. In light of the foregoing, we will grant the Applications and renew the Stations’ licenses.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the Petition to Deny filed by Finger Lakes Alliance for Independent Media on May 1, 2014, IS DENIED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 309(k) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the license renewal applications of Saga Communications of New England, LLC for Stations WFIZ(FM), Odessa, New York (File No. BRH-20140131AGJ), WHCU(AM), Ithaca, New York (File No. BR-201140130ANA), WIII(FM), Cortland, New York (File No. BRH-20140130AMU), WNYY(AM), Ithaca, New York (BR-20140130AMS), WQNY(FM), Ithaca, New York (File No. BRH-20140130AMQ), and WYXL(FM), Ithaca, New York (File No. BRH-20140130AMJ) ARE GRANTED. Finally, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 309(k) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the license renewal applications of Saga Communications of New England, LLC for FM translators W235BR, Ithaca, New York (File No. BRFT-20140131AGM), W242AB, Ithaca, New York (File No. BRFT-20140131AGL), W299BI, Ithaca, New York (File No. BRFT-20140131AGM), W262ADA, Ithaca, New York (File No. BRFT-20140130AMV), W249CD, Ithaca, New York (File No. BRFT-20140130AMT), W244CZ, Ithaca, New York (File No. BRFT-20140130AMM), W254BF, Ithaca, New York (File No. BRFT-20140130AML), and W277BS, Ithaca, New York (File No. BRFT-20140130AMK) ARE GRANTED.

Sincerely,

Peter H. Doyle

Chief, Audio Division

Media Bureau
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18. Petition at 12-14. [↑](#footnote-ref-18)
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