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**ORDER**

**Adopted: October 23, 2015 Released: October 23, 2015**

By the Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau:

# Introduction

1. Pursuant to section 69.606(b) of the Commission’s rules, the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc. (NECA) has submitted the annual average schedule company high-cost loop support (HCLS) formula modifications for Commission review.[[1]](#footnote-2) The Commission’s rules require that this formula “simulate the disbursements that would be received . . . by a company that is representative of average schedule companies.”[[2]](#footnote-3) For the reasons discussed below, we approve NECA’s proposed HCLS formula for 2016.

# High-Cost Loop Support Formula

1. Pursuant to Part 54 of the Commission’s rules, HCLS, also known as the loop expense adjustment, provides universal service support to carriers with high loop costs based on the extent that an individual company’s cost per loop (CPL) exceeds the national average cost per loop (NACPL).[[3]](#footnote-4) Because average schedule companies are not required to perform company-specific cost studies – the basis upon which a carrier’s expense adjustment is calculated – the Commission has permitted expense adjustments for average schedule companies to be calculated pursuant to a formula developed by NECA and approved annually by the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau).[[4]](#footnote-5) This formula is developed by NECA using data from a sample group of average schedule carriers and similarly-situated companies that file cost data (cost companies) in addition to data (access line and exchange information) obtained from the entire population of average schedule carriers; once approved, the formula is used to determine support amounts for all average schedule carriers.
2. In December 2014, the FCC adopted a Report and Order that modified the way HCLS expense adjustments are calculated starting July 1, 2015. The targeted change to the existing HCLS rule was designed to provide a more equitable distribution of HCLS among carriers by reducing support proportionally among all HCLS recipients to remain within the shrinking HCLS cap, instead of eliminating support altogether for some companies while preserving support for other companies.[[5]](#footnote-6)
3. On August 21, 2015, NECA filed proposed modifications to the current HCLS universal service formula for average schedule companies and requested that they take effect on January 1, 2016, and remain in effect through December 31, 2016.[[6]](#footnote-7) On August 25, 2015, the Bureau issued a public notice seeking comment on NECA’s proposed formula.[[7]](#footnote-8) No comments were received.
4. Consistent with prior years, NECA proposes calculating HCLS payments for 2016 for average schedule companies based on the relationship of CPL data of sample companies to values representing the number of loops per exchange (CPL calculations).[[8]](#footnote-9) To estimate current year costs, NECA applies forecasted growth factors to data collected from sample average schedule carriers one and two years prior to the current year. NECA then applies cost allocation factors—developed from the cost studies of similarly-situated cost companies—to the account balances of each sample average schedule company to estimate a CPL for each of the sample companies, and uses regression analyses to predict CPLs for all average schedule carriers. Each average schedule company’s derived CPL is then used to calculate the HCLS support amount consistent with section 54.1310 of the Commission’s rules, as revised in 2014.[[9]](#footnote-10) The 2015 HCLS formula previously approved by the Bureau is expected to provide $8.0 million in payments to carriers serving 165 average schedule study areas.[[10]](#footnote-11) NECA’s proposed formula for 2016 projects $11.3 million in payments to carriers serving 194 average schedule study areas.[[11]](#footnote-12) NECA states that most of the increase is due to the rule change adopted by the Commission in 2014.[[12]](#footnote-13)
5. We find that NECA’s results and CPL calculations appear to be accurate and complete, and the proposed HCLS formula should reasonably approximate the CPL of the sample average schedule companies, and thereby allocate funds appropriately to average schedule companies.[[13]](#footnote-14) Therefore, we approve the HCLS formula as provided in NECA’s August 21, 2015 submission.

# Ordering Clause

1. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to sections 0.91 and 0.291 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, that the average schedule cost per loop formula proposed by the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc. on August 21, 2015 for high-cost loop support IS ADOPTED, as described herein, effective as of January 1, 2016.
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