**DA 14-1237**

**Released: September 5, 2014**

**NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF CLOSED CAPTIONING EXEMPTION PETITION**

**CG Docket No. 06-181**

By this *Notice,* the Federal Communications Commission’s (FCC’s or Commission’s) Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau (Bureau) announces the dismissal of the Petition of Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD) for exemption from the Commission’s closed captioning requirements.[[1]](#footnote-1)

The Commission’s closed captioning rules allow video programming providers, producers, or owners to obtain an exemption from the closed captioning requirements if they demonstrate that providing captions on their programming would be “economically burdensome.”[[2]](#footnote-2) In 2005, LAUSD filed a Petition for a closed captioning exemption under these rules, and LAUSD supplemented the Petition in March 2006 and July 2006.[[3]](#footnote-3) The Bureau then placed the Petition on Public Notice.[[4]](#footnote-4) In 2012, the Bureau notified LAUSD of the need to file updated information with respect to its pending Petition.[[5]](#footnote-5) In response, LAUSD updated the Petition on July 5, 2012, and supplemented it further on July 24, 2012.[[6]](#footnote-6) The Bureau again placed the Petition on Public Notice for comment on October 26, 2012.[[7]](#footnote-7) Consumer Groups jointly filed an opposition to the Petition, and LAUSD filed a reply to Consumer Groups’ opposition.[[8]](#footnote-8) Subsequently, the Bureau determined that it required additional and updated information to enable it to determine whether the programming that was the subject of the Petition should be exempt from the Commission’s closed captioning obligations.[[9]](#footnote-9) In response to a letter from the Bureau, LAUSD supplemented the Petition.[[10]](#footnote-10) The Bureau again placed the Petition on Public Notice for comment on February 10, 2014.[[11]](#footnote-11) Again, Consumer Groups jointly opposed the Petition, and LAUSD filed a reply to the Consumer Groups’ opposition.[[12]](#footnote-12)

Before the Commission could determine whether to grant or deny the Petition, on August 21, 2014, LAUSD submitted a request to withdraw the Petition. LAUSD asked to withdraw the Petition because it asserts that the video programming that is the subject of the Petition meets the criteria for the self-implementing exemption from the Commission’s closed captioning requirements under section 79.1(d)(12) of the Commission’s rules,[[13]](#footnote-13) thereby rendering the Petition moot.[[14]](#footnote-14)

This *Notice* serves to inform the public that this Petition has been dismissed without prejudice as of August 21, 2014.[[15]](#footnote-15)

To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an e-mail to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202-418-0530 (voice) or 202-418-0432 (TTY). This *Public Notice* can also be down­loaded in Word and Portable Document Format at <http://www.fcc.gov/encyclopedia/economically-burdensome-exemption-closed-captioning-requirements>.

Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau Contacts: Caitlin Vogus, (202) 418-1264, Caitlin.Vogus@fcc.gov; or Suzy Rosen Singleton, (202) 510-9446, Suzanne.Singleton@fcc.gov.

**-FCC-**
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