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**WIRELINE COMPETITION BUREAU TAKES ADDITIONAL STEPS TO ELIMINATE DUPLICATIVE CLAIMS FOR LIFELINE SUPPORT**

**WC Docket No. 11-42**

By this Public Notice, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) announces the process for resolving inter-company household duplicate subscribers found during the National Lifeline Accountability Database (NLAD) loading process.[[1]](#footnote-1) Additional information about this process is available on the website of the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC), administrator of the federal universal service fund (Fund).[[2]](#footnote-2)

In the *Lifeline Reform Order*, the Commission codified the rule that subscribers may only have one Lifeline-supported service per household, and it established the NLAD to detect and prevent duplicative support in the Lifeline program.[[3]](#footnote-3) The Commission directed the Bureau and USAC to work with eligible telecommunications carriers (ETCs) to implement a process for resolving duplicative support provided by multiple ETCs to the same household (inter-company household duplicates).[[4]](#footnote-4)

In September 2013, the Bureau directed USAC to develop a process to eliminate inter-company household duplicates prior to the deployment of the NLAD.[[5]](#footnote-5) Pursuant to that directive, USAC worked with select ETCs in Michigan to test a process that resolved inter-company household duplicates.[[6]](#footnote-6) Similar to the in-depth data validations (IDV) process,[[7]](#footnote-7) USAC first mailed letters to subscribers who were living at an address where another Lifeline subscriber also resided and directed all subscribers at the address to complete a one-per-household worksheet (household worksheet).[[8]](#footnote-8) The Bureau tested two options for subscribers to respond to the USAC letter. Subscribers could provide responses to their ETC or directly to USAC.[[9]](#footnote-9) USAC resolved the inter-company household duplicates as directed in the *September Guidance Letter*.[[10]](#footnote-10)

Consistent with the *Lifeline Reform Order*, and as part of our continuing effort to resolve duplicative claims for Lifeline support, we now approve the following process summarized in this Public Notice and detailed on USAC’s website to resolve inter-company household duplicates identified during the NLAD loading process.[[11]](#footnote-11)

* USAC will provide each ETC with a list of its subscribers with inter-company household duplicates.
* ETCs must communicate with their subscribers, informing them of the one per household obligation, explain the eligibility requirements for qualifying as a household, and attempt to obtain a household worksheet from those subscribers who meet those requirements. [[12]](#footnote-12)
* The ETCs’ communications with the subscriber must meet the requirements of the *Lifeline Reform Order*. USAC has posted a template subscriber letter and household worksheet on its website that meets these requirements.[[13]](#footnote-13)
* Those subscribers who do not complete a valid household worksheet and return the worksheet to their ETC must be de-enrolled from the Lifeline program and the NLAD by their ETC, as contemplated by sections 54.405 and 54.410 of the Commission’s rules.[[14]](#footnote-14)

This process will be performed under the following timeline:

* ETCs have from the release date of this Public Notice until **December 23, 2014**, to obtain a valid household worksheet from any subscribers identified by USAC as inter-company duplicates.
* ETCs MUST de-enroll any subscriber who fails to provide a valid household worksheet by **December 30, 2014.**
* ETCs MUST update the NLAD regarding the status of all subscribers on their USAC-provided list by **December 31, 2014** (i.e., ETCs must enter de-enrollment dates into the NLAD for those subscribers who fail to provide a valid household worksheet and also check the Independent Economic Household (IEH) flag for those subscribers who do provide a valid household worksheet).
* USAC must de-enroll by **January 1, 2015** any subscriber listed in the NLAD as an inter-company household duplicate who has not been de-enrolled or who has not been enrolled into the NLAD using the IEH flag.

This timeline is consistent with the timeline for the recertification requirements for the Lifeline program.[[15]](#footnote-15) This will be efficient for ETCs and minimize subscriber confusion.

For further information, please contact Anita Patankar-Stoll, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau at (202) 418-7400 or TTY (202) 418-0484.

**– FCC –**
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