KDB	Title	Section	Comment
			What is the reasoning for requiring plots of RB configurations? Why can't the labs be trusted to make the measurements
941225 D0	SAR for LTE	IIHI	correctly? This seems like a burdensome extra set of data with little value.
			Please create a table documenting the required LTE RB/channel test conditions. The wording is very confusing and
941225 D0	SAR for LTE	General	labs/OEMs will likely be confused.
			Why isn't RB=1 the default test condition for SAR measurements? If 100% or 50% result in power reduction as supported by declarations and power measurements, why do they need to be SAR tested if RB=1 results in the worst case data given it will have the highest transmit power per 3GPP MPR requirements? Is there test data indicating there is a problem? Requiring all the alternate RB configurations to be tested for a device adds significant test time and cost with unclear added value to a test report if RB=1 represents the worst case data.
941225 D0	SAR for LTE	III B	For many devices, the nominal SAR target is likely around 1.2 W/kg (1dB margin). Using this as the cutoff will result in many devices having to test dozens, if not hundreds, of extra test cases depending on the number of LTE bands supported thus representing a significant increase in test time and cost with unclear added test data value.