#### **BLUE WIRELESS**

# Opposition to the AT&T purchase of Allied Wireless US Retail Operations

Brian Gelfand John Goocher Donald Evans

May 14, 2013



## Agenda

- \* Blue Wireless Background
- Blue Wireless Objections
- \* Spectrum Concentration
- \* Market Share Implications
- Broadband Competition
- \* Summary



### Blue Wireless Background

- \* Wireless Service Provider since 2004
  - Acquired former Devon Mobile Assets in NY & PA
  - \* Offers commercial mobile radio service in Buffalo, NY, Erie, PA, Scranton Wilkes-Barre, PA and other smaller BTAs in NY and PA using PCS spectrum
  - Uses CDMA technology like Allied Wireless
  - \* Blue price plans include an \$18/month unlimited local voice plan as well as a \$36/month unlimited nationwide plan
  - \* Blue faces competition from the "big four" in addition to Cricket and mobile virtual network operators
  - Blue Wireless, through its affiliates, holds spectrum covering 8 million licensed pops
  - \* Operates in Erie, PA which is adjacent Ashtabula, OH



#### Blue Wireless Objections

- \* Generally, wireless market concentration limits the ability of smaller carriers to compete and limits consumer choice
  - \* Handsets are made for specific companies ("the big four") and are not available to smaller carriers
  - \* Related ecosystems (Band Classes) stand to further limit effective competition
  - \* Consumers pay too much for wireless service as industry leaders approach 50% operating margins
- \* Specifically, the sale of Allied's US Retail Operations to AT&T are anti-competitive for, and Blue Wireless specifically objects to, the sale of 1 RSA, Ohio-3, Ashtabula County, OH
- \* Objections result from 3 primary areas
  - \* Spectrum Concentration
    - Violates spectrum screen typically used for FCC review
  - \* Market Share Concentration
    - \* AT&T could not originally buy this market because AT&T had too many customers in Ohio-3
  - Reduces Broadband Wireless competitors from 4 to 3



#### Spectrum Objection

- \* Spectrum concentration
  - \* The Table of Contents in the Public Interest Statement in item VI. A. states that "The Transaction Raises No Spectrum Aggregation Concerns" which is misleading
  - \* Further in the body of the filing, AT&T says, "AT&T, for the most part, has a modest presence and limited coverage in many areas served by Allied, and its spectrum holdings will remain below the Commission's spectrum screen in all but one county."
- \* Blue Wireless objects to that one county Ohio–3, Ashtabula County
- AT&T chooses to ignore this issue as small
- \* If limits are not enforced, residents of Ashtabula County may never have another choice and may be faced with a long term duopoly

	AT&T Current Spectrum Holdings									5	Transaction			
												Spectrum	Total	Amount
				AWS	BRS				(MHz)			(MHz)	Post	Over
CMA	CMA Name	County	State	Available	Available	Screen	700 MHz	Cellular	PCS	AWS	WCS	Cellular	Transaction	Screen
587	Ohio 3	Ashtabula	Ohio	Yes	Yes	151	30	0	60	20	20	25	155	4



#### Market Concentration Objection

#### \* Market concentration

- Blue Wireless objects to the lack of HHI disclosures in the Public Interest filing
- \* Markets were originally sold to Allied by Verizon because AT&T could not buy them given the market concentration caused at that time
- AT&T already offers service in this area and consumers are free to choose AT&T today – clearly a competitive choice is being eliminated
- \* Perverse incentives exist if this transaction is allowed
  - \* AT&T crushes other carriers, then buys them because they have fewer customers than they did before, resulting in an oligopoly
- \* Regulatory oversight is required to disallow this type of Machiavellian behavior
- \* Blue Wireless requests regulators to review the HHI for Ohio-3 specifically, and all of the markets generally



### **Broadband Competition Limited**

- \* AT&T acquisition eliminates a wireless broadband competitor
  - Currently, only Verizon, Sprint, AT&T and Allied have 3G service available
  - Broadband wireless competition will be reduced from 4 to 3
  - One of the reasons cited for disallowing the AT&T/T-Mobile merger was this very issue
  - \* To now allow it sends the wrong message to acquisitive companies at the very time more wireless broadband competition is needed, especially in rural areas
  - Blue Wireless believes that the consistent application of the 4 wireless broadband competitor "standard" is crucial



#### Summary

- \* Blue Wireless asks for regulators to intervene
- \* Regulators have multiple grounds to intervene on Ashtabula, OH (CMA 587)
  - AT&T fails the spectrum screen
  - \* AT&T will likely fail the market concentration screen
  - \* Broadband competition will be reduced to 3 competitors
  - \* Set up to become a duopoly between AT&T and Verizon
- \* If a customer wanted AT&T, they can have AT&T today (and all of the benefits quoted in the Public Interest statement) without the consolidation of this viable competitor
- \* Ultimately, AT&T fails to show how the public benefits in Ashtabula, OH

