

Jean L. Kiddoo
Direct Phone: (202) 373-6034
Direct Fax: (202) 373-6482
jean.kiddoo@bingham.com

June 21, 2011

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Ex Parte Communication – WT Docket 11-65 (AT&T/T-Mobile)

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On behalf of MetroPCS Communications, Inc. (“MetroPCS” or “Company”), and pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission’s Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206, this is to provide notice of an *ex parte* telephone call held on June 17, 2011 in connection with WT Docket No. 11-65. The call was attended by Mr. Mark A. Stachiw, General Counsel, Secretary and Vice Chairman, and Garreth A. Sarosi, Senior Counsel, Director of Intellectual Property, at MetroPCS, and the undersigned outside counsel to MetroPCS (the “MetroPCS Representatives”). The MetroPCS Representatives talked with Jim Bird, Renata Hesse, Joel Rabinovitz, and Susan Singer, variously of the Commission’s Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Office of General Counsel (the “FCC Representatives”).

The purpose of the call was to discuss the Information and Discovery Requests for Third Parties issued by the Commission in the above-referenced matter on June 6, 2011 to MetroPCS (“Data Requests”). Specifically, the parties discussed the extremely confidential nature of several of the requests insofar as they pertain to the Company’s business plans and subscriber data. In particular, the MetroPCS Representatives advised that the Company requests that all of the information submitted in response to Items 2-3 and 5-8 of the Data Requests be treated as Highly Confidential pursuant to the Second Protective Order issued on April 27, 2011, in the above-referenced docket (“Second Protective Order”), as supplemented on June 9, 2011 (“Second Protective Order Supplement”), and noted that this request is similar to the request submitted by Sprint Nextel Corporation on June 15, 2011 for the same data as requested in the Data Requests. Given that similar confidentiality concerns exist for those Data Requests, the parties discussed the fact that the Commission could issue a blanket order applicable to both respondents (and any other similarly situated respondents to the same Document Requests).

Boston
Hartford
Hong Kong
London
Los Angeles
New York
Orange County
San Francisco
Santa Monica
Silicon Valley
Tokyo
Walnut Creek
Washington

Bingham McCutchen LLP
2020 K Street NW
Washington, DC
20006-1806

T 202.373.6000
F 202.373.6001
bingham.com

A/74370448.2

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
June 21, 2011
Page 2

The parties also discussed the scope of the Data Requests and the fact that certain of the Data Requests call for information in categories which are not consistent with the manner in which the data is maintained in the Company's databases, and the MetroPCS Representatives described to the FCC Representatives the types of data that it has identified as responsive. In particular, the Company's initial production will cover the following types of information, subject to identification by MetroPCS of any additional responsive information and to any follow up requests by the Commission:

Item 1. Coverage maps for MetroPCS' CDMA service areas will be provided as requested for a -95 and -85 dBm signal level or better, except that coverage maps for the Company's LTE services will be provided at -107 dBm, which is the way in which coverage for that service is measured by the Company. All of the maps to be produced pursuant to Item 1 are proprietary and confidential, and the MetroPCS Representatives therefore requested Confidential treatment of its response to Item 1 under the First Protective Order issued April 14, 2011 by the Commission in the above- referenced docket.

Item 2. MetroPCS maintains Long Range Plans that are sufficient to show its plans for future network deployment, and it will provide its Long Range Plans dating from June 1, 2010 to the present (and on an ongoing basis as they may become available while this proceeding is pending). The Long Range Plans to be produced pursuant to Item 2 are highly proprietary and confidential, the disclosure of which would have a serious negative effect on the Company's business and place it at a significant competitive disadvantage, and the MetroPCS Representatives therefore requested Highly Confidential treatment of its response to Item 2 under the Second Protective Order, and in particular pursuant to paragraphs 11, 12, and 13 of Appendix A of the Second Protective Order Supplement.

Item 3. Upon clarification by the FCC Representatives as to the scope of the questions in Item 3, the MetroPCS Representatives advised the FCC Representatives that the Company is investigating but does not believe it currently owns any cell sites on which either AT&T or T-Mobile (or any other carrier) collocates. To the extent that its review uncovers any such sites, it will provide the information, and any such information produced pursuant to Item 3 would be highly proprietary and confidential, the disclosure of which would have a serious negative effect on the Company's business and place it at a significant competitive disadvantage, and the MetroPCS Representatives therefore requested Highly Confidential treatment of any future response to Item 3 under the Second Protective Order, and in particular pursuant to paragraphs 11 and 16 of Appendix A of the Second Protective Order Supplement.

Item 4. The FCC Representatives clarified that the question calls for production of the MetroPCS' price lists that are being marketed by the Company as of the date of the Data Request, and MetroPCS will produce those price lists.

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
June 21, 2011
Page 3

Item 5. MetroPCS will provide a response to the interrogatory in Item 5 regarding the network spectrum and capacity constraints it faces within the next one, three and five years, and will also provide reports and other documents sufficient to show its plans and analyses of such spectrum and capacity constraints. The responses and documents and reports to be produced pursuant to Item 5 are highly proprietary and confidential, the disclosure of which would have a serious negative effect on the Company's business and place it at a significant competitive disadvantage, and the MetroPCS Representatives therefore requested Highly Confidential treatment of its response to Item 5 under the Second Protective Order, and in particular pursuant to paragraphs 5, 11, 12, 13, and 16 of Appendix A of the Second Protective Order Supplement.

Item 6. The MetroPCS Representatives advised the FCC Representatives that the Company does not generally create any reports, plans and analyses responsive to Item 6, and does not generally evaluate the services and products of other wireless carriers as comparisons in formulating its own business plans, and other than some *ad hoc* documents that will be provided, does not have any Company-generated plans, analyses or reports in this regard. The Company is also in possession of a number of third party reports and analyses of the type that are generally available to the public either at a price or otherwise. Other than the production of any responsive Nielsen data since January 2010 that is in the possession of the Company, the FCC Representatives clarified that MetroPCS need not produce any third party analyses or reports that are generally available to the public either at a price or otherwise. The responses to be produced pursuant to Item 6 are highly proprietary and confidential to MetroPCS, the disclosure of which would have a serious negative effect on the Company's business and place it at a significant competitive disadvantage, and, with respect to the Nielsen data, is subject to confidentiality agreements, notice and consent. The MetroPCS Representatives therefore requested Highly Confidential treatment of its response to Item 6 under the Second Protective Order, and in particular pursuant to paragraphs 8, 9 and 10 of Appendix A of the Second Protective Order Supplement.

Items 7 and 8. The parties will continue to work with each other to define the scope and format of the information to be provided pursuant to Items 7 and 8, and the time it will take to gather and provide the information. The responses to those questions, regardless of the outcome of those discussions, will be highly proprietary and confidential to MetroPCS, the disclosure of which would have a serious negative effect on the Company's business and place it at a significant competitive disadvantage. The MetroPCS Representatives therefore requested Highly Confidential treatment of its response to Items 7 and 8 under Appendix A of the Second Protective Order, and in particular pursuant to paragraphs 6, 7, 8, and 14 of the Second Protective Order Supplement.

The MetroPCS Representatives also advised the FCC Representatives that additional time beyond the requested June 20, 2011, deadline will be required in order to respond to the Data Requests. The FCC Representatives granted an extension of time until July 1, 2011

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
June 21, 2011
Page 4

for responses to Items 1-6 of the Data Requests, and the Company committed to providing information in advance of that date as it becomes available. The date for response to Items 7-8 of the Data Requests was also extended to a date to be determined once the parties are able to clarify the manner in which the data is to be produced and how quickly it can be accomplished.

Should any additional information be required with respect to this *ex parte* notice, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Jean L. Kiddoo

Jean L. Kiddoo

cc (by email): FCC Representatives
MetroPCS Representatives