

Jean L. Kiddoo  
Direct Phone: (202) 373-6034  
Direct Fax: (202) 373-6482  
jean.kiddoo@bingham.com

June 15, 2011

**VIA ELECTRONIC FILING**

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
The Portals  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Ex Parte Communication – WT Docket 11-65 (AT&T/T-Mobile)

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On behalf of MetroPCS Communications, Inc. (“MetroPCS” or “Company”), and pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission’s Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206, this is to provide notice of an *ex parte* meeting held on June 13, 2011 in connection with WT Docket No. 11-65. The meeting was attended by Mr. Mark A. Stachiw, General Counsel, Secretary and Vice Chairman of MetroPCS (by telephone), and Patrick J. Whittle and the undersigned counsel to MetroPCS (the “MetroPCS Representatives”). The MetroPCS Representatives met with Jim Bird, Patrick DeGraba, Neil Dellar, Chelsea Fallon, Renata Hesse, Paul LaFontaine, Virginia Metallo, Joel Rabinovitz, and Susan Singer, variously of the Commission’s Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Office of General Counsel, and Office of Strategic Planning (the “FCC Representatives”).

The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the Information and Discovery Requests for Third Parties issued by the Commission in the above-referenced matter on June 6, 2011 to MetroPCS. Specifically, the parties discussed MetroPCS’ questions and concerns about the scope and extremely confidential nature of several of the requests insofar as they pertain to the Company’s business plans and subscriber data, and the fact that certain of the data requests call for information in categories which are not consistent with the manner in which the data is maintained in the Company’s databases. MetroPCS also explored with the Commission Representatives the possibility of using a waiver process rather than the current process whereby the Commission would first review information that may have been disclosed to another government agency so as to preserve the confidential nature of any information. The FCC Representatives explained why the waiver process would not work for certain information. The MetroPCS Representatives then sought clarification of some of the requests and discussed ways in which the information and data could be produced so as to minimize harm to its business. They also advised the FCC Representatives that additional time beyond the requested June 20,

Boston  
Hartford  
Hong Kong  
London  
Los Angeles  
New York  
Orange County  
San Francisco  
Santa Monica  
Silicon Valley  
Tokyo  
Washington

Bingham McCutchen LLP  
2020 K Street NW  
Washington, DC  
20006-1806

T 202.373.6000  
F 202.373.6001  
bingham.com

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
June 15, 2011  
Page 2

2011, deadline would be required in order to respond and that the Company will therefore likely seek an extension.

Today, the undersigned had a follow up telephone conversation with Mr. Rabinovitz, who advised that the Commission is still considering the Company's requests for clarification and how it would like MetroPCS to address the discrepancy between the categories of data that the Commission has requested and the way that data is maintained in the Company's databases. He also confirmed that all information submitted under the procedures established by the Second Protective Order issued on April 27, 2011, for submission of Highly Confidential Information would be available only to outside counsel/consultants of other parties who qualify under the terms of that order and who have provided the Company with the appropriate Acknowledgement of Confidentiality.

Should any additional information be required with respect to this *ex parte* notice, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

*/s/ Jean L. Kiddoo*

Jean L. Kiddoo

cc (by email): FCC Representatives  
Mark A. Stachiw