

June 1, 2010

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Re: *In the Matter of Applications of Comcast Corporation, General Electric Company and NBC Universal, Inc. for Consent to Assign Licenses or Transfer Control of Licensees*,
MB Docket No. 10-56

Dear Ms. Dortch,

On May 28, 2010, Kathy Zachem and Jordan Goldstein of Comcast Corporation; Arthur Burke, Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP; David Murray, Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP, and the undersigned (collectively, “Comcast”) met with the following individuals regarding the Comcast Information Request¹ issued in the above-referenced proceeding: Jonathan Baker, William Beckwith, Deborah Broderson, Neil Dellar, William Freedman, Marcia Glauberman, Judith Herman, Jamila Bess Johnson, Virginia Metallo, Joel Rabinovitz, Dana Scherer, Daniel Shiman, and Jennifer Tatel.

Comcast described its ongoing efforts to respond to the Comcast Information Request. Comcast described the organizational structure of the company and the processes by which documents and information were collected in response to the Department of Justice’s Second Request,² and will be collected in response to the Comcast Information Request. Comcast answered questions from the Commission concerning certain company divisions, businesses, and personnel.

¹ *In the Matter of Applications of Comcast Corporation, General Electric Company and NBC Universal, Inc. for Consent to Assign Licenses or Transfer Control of Licensees*, Information and Discovery Request for Comcast Corporation, MB Docket No. 10-56 (rel. May 21, 2010) (“Comcast Information Request”).

² Request for Additional Information and Production of Documentary Material Issued to Comcast Corporation, Department of Justice (Feb. 24, 2010) (“Second Request”).

Marlene H. Dortch

June 1, 2010

Page 2

Comcast identified practical and logistical issues related to its efforts to respond to certain questions in the Comcast Information Request. Comcast explained ways that it could respond to such questions, providing the information the Commission needs to conduct a thorough review of the proposed transaction while avoiding unduly burdensome or impracticable document and information collection efforts. Comcast also identified requested information that it did not possess or control, and discussed the kind of information that it could provide or obtain from other sources within a reasonable time period. Comcast further identified instances where it does not keep certain information or data in the particular forms or timeframes set forth in the questions, and explained the nature, form, and extent of responsive information that it could provide. And Comcast identified licensing and other restrictions that may govern the provision of some of the data and information sought in the Comcast Information Request.

Comcast again stressed the need to protect the confidentiality of third party contracts and other documents and information potentially responsive to the Comcast Information Request. In addition, Comcast discussed technical format issues relating to the production of documents and information responsive to the Comcast Information Request.

Comcast asked that the Commission take these various issues into account in considering the Comcast Information Request.

Kindly direct any questions regarding this matter to my attention.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael H. Hammer

Michael H. Hammer

cc:	Jonathan Baker	William Beckwith	Deborah Broderon	Neil Dellar
	William Freedman	Marcia Glauberan	Judith Herman	Jamila Bess Johnson
	Virginia Metallo	Joel Rabinovitz	Dana Scherer	Daniel Shiman
	Jennifer Tatel			