Thank you in advance for your time to read this comment.

After the near declaration of the internet as a basic human right by Frank La Rue, it wouldn't be a stretch to imagine the internet as a country of which everyone in the world in potentially a part. In terms of governance models, the current state of the internet most closely analogues democracy, whereas the system proposed by the telecom industry most closely analogues an aristocracy. (Depending on your appreciation for their lack of competition, one could argue it was more of a decentralized dictatorship)

Barring the idea of maintaining the freedom of the citizens of the internet, should the Open Internet fail to be preserved, the world's largest and most tech-savvy army would be simultaneously rallied to either:

a) Dismantle all efforts taken at "two-tier", "non-neutral" systems
b) Immediately work to create the next version of the internet as we know it (reusing existing enterprise infrastructure or not)
c) Both

It should go without saying that all of these options would prove extremely costly (with a 'T'...) and eventually impossible to prevent, as well as having the side effect of fragmenting a system to the point of zero innovation until one version "triumphed", or there was total reconciliation.

Thank you for reading, and I appreciate your appeal to the public's comments on a matter that effects more people than currently have internet access, though they hopefully will someday soon.

Regards,
Max