July 2, 2015

VIA ECFS

EX PARTE NOTICE

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Technology Transitions, GN Docket No. 13-5; AT&T Petition to Launch a Proceeding Concerning the TDM-to-IP Transition, GN Docket No. 12-353; Policies and Rules Governing the Retirement of Copper Loops by Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, RM-11358; Special Access Rates for Price Cap Local Exchange Carriers, WC Docket No. 05-25 and RM-10593

Dear Ms. Dortch,

On June 30, 2015 Phillip Berenbroick and John Bergmeyer of Public Knowledge, Olivia Wein of National Consumer Law Center, Matt Wood of Free Press, Sarah Morris of New America's Open Technology Institute, Jamie Belcore Saloom of the U.S. Small Business Administration Office of Advocacy, Eric Einhorn of Windstream, Paula Foley of Granite Telecommunications, Susan Butler of Capitol Resources LLC on behalf of Granite, Lisa Youngers of XO Communications, Keith Buell of Sprint Corporation, Roger Fleming of Northfork Strategies on behalf of Integra Telecom, Joe Cavender of Level 3 Communications, Greg Darnell of Birch Communications, Tamar Finn of Morgan Lewis on behalf of U.S. TelePacific Corp., and Angie Kronenberg and the undersigned from COMPTEL met with Travis Litman of Commissioner Rosenworcel’s office. During the meeting we expressed our support for the Commission’s bipartisan unanimous determination that the technology transition proceeding should ensure that the network values of competition, consumer protection, universal service and public safety should continue.

We discussed several issues related to residential service. First, we urged the Commission to establish appropriate technical standards to define “comparable” service for the purpose of copper retirement and section 214. Second, we noted our concern with reports of carriers allowing copper networks to degrade to the point of de facto discontinuance of service, without seeking approval from the Commission under section 214. We asked the Commission to set up a system to receive complaints about degraded TDM service, or to clarify the availability of the Commission’s existing complaint systems, in order to track instances of de facto retirement by maintenance neglect. Next, we emphasized that the Commission should clarify when a carrier’s obligations under section 214 are triggered following the destruction of copper facilities due to natural disaster. Lastly, we explained that the Commission should require carriers to provide back-up power to residential customers via D cell batteries or other widely available commercial batteries that customers can stockpile.
We expressed support for adoption of the tentative conclusion, which the Commission reached on a bipartisan basis,\(^1\) that an incumbent LEC must offer a replacement product that provides at least equivalent wholesale access on equivalent rates, terms, and conditions in order to be granted a discontinuance application for a wholesale input service offering. The adoption of this standard is needed so that business end-users (particularly smaller businesses) can continue to receive the tailored, innovative and affordable service they need to operate their businesses. In particular, we urged the Commission to adopt Windstream’s proposed six principles, as modified by COMPTEL,\(^2\) in rules clarifying application of the standard.

We discussed the fact that if incumbent LECs were allowed to discontinue legacy services without preserving the availability of affordable last-mile connections to non-profits, anchor institutions, and business customers, then competition to serve these end users would be harmed. Indeed, as discussed in the meeting, there are more than 200 letters in the docket from end-user customers asking the Commission to preserve competitive choice. They are a diverse representation of education centers, health care providers, school districts, fire fighters, financial institutions, and “mom & pop” companies. The letters from these end-users discuss the importance of the customized and affordable service – and the good customer service – they receive from competitive carriers.\(^3\) Recognizing these concerns, the Office of Advocacy - U.S. Small Business Administration filed a letter stating:

“Competitive carriers have made a strong case that their ability to purchase wholesale access to incumbent networks is necessary to provide small business consumers with meaningful choices among various service providers for their broadband and voice needs. Competitive carriers offer services and products to small businesses that incumbent providers do not offer, and may lack the incentive to offer without any competitive pressure to do so. Current data shows that competitive carriers provide nearly one-third of the wireline services consumed by small businesses. Incumbent carriers should not be allowed to remove these choices from small business consumers by charging competitive carriers higher wholesale rates or demanding more onerous contract terms when modernizing their network technology. Competitive carriers and small business consumers have supported the continued investments of incumbents in our nation’s telecommunications infrastructure, and they should not be left behind as that

---

\(^1\) Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Declaratory Ruling, Technology Transitions et al, GN Docket No. 13-5, FCC 14-185 (2014) (“NPRM”) at ¶ 110. [The Commission tentatively concludes that it “require an incumbent LECs that seek Section 214 authority to discontinue, reduce, or impair a legacy service used as a wholesale input by competitive providers to commit to providing equivalent wholesale access on equivalent rates, terms, and conditions.”]


\(^3\) For example, Century 21 MarketLink Realty states: “Thus far we have selected TDS Metrocom (TDS) as our preferred provider that delivers not only reliable technology, but also at the level of quality and flexibility we require. In addition, TDS stays in touch with us to ensure they are satisfying our business needs. Without these important factors our business cannot remain successful.” Letter of Martin De Witt, Owner, to Chairman Wheeler, dated June 18, 2015.
infrastructure evolves. The FCC should ensure that small businesses will not lose access to affordable, tailored products that meet their unique needs.”

We also discussed two cost studies prepared by CostQuest, which were filed in these dockets on June 8, 2015, by Windstream. Consistent with the prior filing we explained that one study demonstrates that competition for most business customer locations likely will continue to depend on competitive providers being able to lease ILEC last-mile inputs with reasonable rates, terms, and conditions so that they can connect their CLEC fiber backbone to individual customer locations. We added that ILECs, under a regime merely requiring equivalent wholesale pricing, would attain a windfall as they transition to lower cost networks but still charge wholesale rates based on more expensive legacy cost conditions.

Finally, we also emphasized the need for the Commission to improve the “copper retirement process to better promote competition and protect consumers.” In particular, the Commission should adopt revisions to improve the notification and procedural rules for copper retirement so that wholesale and end-users consumers are informed of their options and have sufficient notice of retirements (i.e., at least one year) to allow them to consider fully alternatives and can take informed steps to mitigate the impact without retail customers suffering undue disruption. The ILECs should be required to incorporate their retirement notices into their existing processes for responding to competitor inquiries about the availability of copper facilities, so as to minimize the potential for situations that threaten continuity of service for retail customers which can arise (and have arisen) when retirement notices are not incorporated. Requiring that the retirement notices be incorporated would not impose any new data collection requirement on the ILECs. We also expressed our support for the Commission defining copper retirement to included disabling or removal of the loop or feeder and ask that the Commission remind incumbents of the obligation to return copper facilities to service upon request if they haven’t been retired.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions about this submission.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Karen Reidy

cc: Travis Litman

---
