April 1, 2015

Via ECFS

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

RE: EX PARTE PRESENTATION

WT Docket No. 14-170: Updating Part 1 Competitive Bidding Rules

GN Docket No. 12-268: Expanding the Economic and Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum Through Incentive Auctions

RM-11395: Petition of DIRECTV Group, Inc. and EchoStar LLC for Expedited Rulemaking to Amend Section 1.2105(a)(2)(xi) and 1.2106(a) of the Commission’s Rules and/or for Interim Conditional Waiver

WT Docket No. 05-211: Implementation of the Commercial Spectrum Enhancement Act and Modernization of the Commission’s Competitive Bidding Rules and Procedures

Dear Ms. Dortch,


Consistent with their individual comments and reply comments in these proceedings, members of the Rural Coalition discussed the poor results of Auction 97 for the rural telephone industry, and their proposal for the Commission to offer a rural telephone company bidding credit in the forthcoming 600 MHz Broadcast Incentive Auction.

1 The Blooston Rural Carriers have previously been identified in the record of these proceedings. See, e.g., Comments of the Blooston Rural Carriers, WT Docket Nos. 14-170, 05-211, GN Docket No. 12-268, and RM-11395 at Attachment A (filed Feb. 20, 2015).
In particular, more than half of the 70 qualified bidders in the auction were rural telcos or rural telco affiliates, yet only 28.9% of these entities were successful in winning any licenses. Less than half of the rural telcos that were successful bidders were able to qualify under the Commission’s DE rules as small businesses, and at the close of the auction rural bidders accounted for just $871,350 (or 0.024%) of the total $3.57 billion in bidding credits awarded.

To address these concerns, the Rural Coalition has proposed that the Commission offer a 25% bidding credit – equal to the average credit available to small businesses – to all rural telephone company bidders and their subsidiaries/affiliates. This credit would allow qualified rural telephone companies to take a 25% reduction in the gross winning bid for any geographic license(s) that overlap the rural carrier’s wireline or wireless service area. This credit would be independent of any small business bidding credits for which a rural telephone company bidder may be eligible, and the credits should be cumulative.

The Rural Coalition also discussed proposals to eliminate or modify the Commission’s Attributable Material Relationship Rule, the Commission’s proposal to increase small business revenue thresholds, and the creation of rural partitioning incentives for larger carriers.

Pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission’s Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206, this ex parte presentation is being filed electronically with the Office of the Secretary.

Sincerely,

/s/ Erin P. Fitzgerald /s/ Brian Ford
Erin P. Fitzgerald Brian Ford
Assistant Regulatory Counsel Regulatory Counsel
Rural Wireless Association, Inc. NTCA – The Rural Broadband Association

/s/ D. Cary Mitchell /s/ John A. Prendergast
D. Cary Mitchell John A. Prendergast
Partner Managing Partner
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Dickens, Duffy & Blooston, Mordkofsky, Dickens, Duffy & Prendergast, LLP Prendergast, LLP
Counsel to Blooston Rural Carriers Counsel to Blooston Rural Carriers

cc (via email):
Commissioner Mignon Clyburn
Louis Peraertz