Marlene H. Dortch  
Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 Twelfth Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On July 30, 2015, Michael Calabrese of New America’s Open Technology Institute (OTI) and Colin Oldberg of Common Cause (the “public interest advocates”), met with Gary Epstein, Howard Symons and Mary Margaret Jackson of the Incentive Auction Task Force, along with Julius Knapp, Ira Keltz, Hugh Van Tuyl, Paul Murray and Serey Thaz of the Office of Engineering and Technology, as well as Chad Breckinridge of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, concerning the above-referenced proceedings.

The public interest advocates reiterated widespread concern in the unlicensed spectrum community about the incentive auction team’s recommendation to relocate broadcast stations in the Duplex Gap in certain key markets, including possibly Los Angeles. We noted that this could preempt mass markets for next generation Wi-Fi that leverages the unique propagation characteristics of spectrum below 1 GHz. Leading chip makers have stated repeatedly that access to a minimum of three unlicensed channels in every market is necessary to justify the investment needed to integrate the IEEE 802.11af standard for TVWS into Wi-Fi chips for smartphones, tablets and other mobile devices that would benefit from the greater penetration and range of...
such spectrum. Since the Duplex Gap represents one of these three channels, it remains critical that the incentive auction rules either avoid relocating TV stations in the Duplex Gap or, at a minimum, identify a substitute channel of 6 megahertz in the affected markets where unlicensed devices can operate at a power no less than 40 milliwatts.

Accordingly, the public interest representatives expressed strong support for the ongoing efforts of Commissioner Rosenworcel and the auction team to explore whether a “second vacant channel” in the remaining TV band could be made available for unlicensed use after the repack in markets where it becomes necessary to place a TV station in the Duplex Gap. The advocates acknowledged that the Commission would need to seek further comment on this proposal, most likely in tandem with the separate and pending Vacant Channel NPRM. The advocates emphasized that the Order the Commission votes on August 6 should at least tentatively approve the allocation of a “second vacant channel” for unlicensed use in any market where a TV station is relocated into the Duplex Gap.

The advocates also proposed that two additional policies be considered to minimize the need to locate TV stations in the Duplex Gap. First, the representatives suggested that uplink or downlink blocks that cannot be auctioned should also not be included in the calculation of the “national average impairment” threshold that determines whether the auction can close at a particular clearing target or must, instead, drop down to a lower clearing target (and hence a smaller auction). The population in blocks that are more than 50% impaired and are not auctioned should not count towards the “national average impairment” calculation because they do not impact the “impairment” that affects wireless carriers, which is the impairment of blocks that are sold in the auction and the resulting ratio of Category 1 and 2 blocks.

Second, the advocates suggested that the Commission consider preserving the option, at the end of the Reverse Auction, to buy out one additional TV station as an alternative to using the Duplex Gap. This option could be limited to the small number of very constrained markets identified by the Auction Team, such as Los Angeles. It could also be done on a very straightforward and simplified basis by offering the last TV station to drop out of the Reverse Auction the opportunity to accept the last price that it found acceptable (prior to dropping out). This would not encourage broadcasters to “game” the Reverse Auction, since any station that actually intended to sell their spectrum would need to gamble on the unknown and fairly unlikely odds that (a) the Commission would need one more station to avoid using the Duplex Gap, and (b) that the licensee would be the last station to drop out of the Reverse Auction before it closed.

With respect to the Part 15 NPRM, the advocates stated that their groups and the broader Public Interest Spectrum Coalition is pleased the draft Order greatly improves the rules in ways that allow more robust use of the “TV White Spaces” by unlicensed devices. The advocates expressed support for the pending provisions that permit the use of 6 megahertz channel at a 40 milliwatts power level in the Duplex Gap, raise power limits in rural areas, permit channel
aggregation, authorize the operation of very low-power personal/portable devices below Channel 21, and other improvements.

At the same time, the public interest advocates urged additional improvements, based on their understanding of the draft Order. First, the advocates suggested that the configuration of the Duplex Gap should be 4-6-1 (rather than the proposed 1-4-6) so that there is a 1 megahertz buffer between Wi-Fi and LTE Uplink.

Second, the advocates argued that the proposed exclusion zones around WMTS sites are grossly over-protective and could render Channel 37 unusable for unlicensed devices in large portions of urban areas. Despite the fact that the 300 meter exclusion radius proposed in the NPRM was already twice the distance that Google’s study had demonstrated is needed to protect WMTS operations inside hospitals, the draft Order reportedly increases the separation distance to 380 meters, a 75% increase in the overall size of the exclusion zone. The advocates reiterated that the TV Bands Database is capable of enforcing customized “protection zones” which would be far more spectrum efficient than one-size-fits-all “exclusion zones” that do not take account of real-world terrain or operating conditions.

Finally, with respect to the incentive auction reserve, the public interest advocates reiterated their strong support for a single and simplified trigger of $2.00 MHz/POP for the spectrum reserve to come into play. The advocates noted that auction economists have shown the double-trigger can be gamed by the two dominant carriers. Verizon and AT&T will have both the incentive and the ability to selectively drive prices to foreclosure levels in the most critical top markets. If, as a result, the reserve is not triggered until well after the MHz/POP value exceeds the fair market value – and hits levels indicative of foreclosure pricing – this will defeat the entire purpose of the reserve. To achieve the purpose of the reserve and ensure that companies with little low-band spectrum pay fair market value rather than prices inflated by rivals seeking to foreclose competitors, the Commission should adopt a traditional reserve price trigger that will avoid any undue windfall while preventing such foreclosure.

The OTI representative mentioned a variation of a single $2.00 MHz/POP trigger that could be considered as means of preventing foreclosure pricing in the top 40 markets, while ensuring that all winning bidders contribute fairly to the cost of the auction and that the closing rule conditions will be satisfied. Once bids exceed $2.00 MHz/POP in a top 40 market, the separation of bidding for the reserve spectrum could be triggered in that market only, with the reserve triggered on a nationwide basis only after the bidding exceeds $2.00 MHz/POP in all 40 of the top markets (not just on average). Compared to either the draft Order – which risks foreclosure pricing strategies – or a single average $2.00 MHz/POP trigger, this alternative market-by-market $2.00 trigger could minimize the risk of both anti-competitive foreclosure and of not meeting the closing rule conditions at a particular clearing target.
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