February 12, 2015

FILED ELECTRONICALLY

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch  
Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW  
Washington, DC  20554

Re:  Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet, GN Docket No. 14-28;  
Framework for Broadband Internet Service, GN Docket No. 10-127;  
Implementation of Section 224 of the Act, WC Docket No. 07-245

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On February 10, 2015, Alex Hoehn-Saric, Charter Communications, Inc.’s Vice President for Government Affairs, Christianna Barnhart, Charter’s Vice President for Regulatory Affairs, and the undersigned met in separate meetings with Nick Degani, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Pai, and Amy Bender, Legal Advisor to Commissioner O’Rielly. In the meetings, Charter commended the Commission for working to ensure that the Internet remains open and thriving and argued that reclassifying broadband under Title II was both unnecessary and harmful to those ends. See Comments of Charter, Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet, GN Docket Nos. 14-28 and 10-127, at 13-21 (July 18, 2014). Charter also discussed the Commission’s transparency regulations, see id. at 21-35, the regulation of Internet interconnection, see Letter from Matthew A. Brill to Marlene H. Dortch, GN Docket Nos. 14-28 and 10-127, at 22-25 (Dec. 23, 2014), the importance of non-regulation of broadband pricing, and the preemption of state public utility regulation, see id. at 12-22; Letter from Jonathan Banks et al. to Marlene H. Dortch, GN Docket Nos. 14-28 and 10-127 (Jan. 23, 2015). Additionally, Charter discussed the implications of reclassification for pole attachments, see Letter from Steven F. Morris to Marlene H. Dortch, GN Docket No. 14-28 and WC Docket No. 07-245 (Jan. 22, 2014), and argued that any change in pole attachment rates caused by reclassification is prospective-only, as reclassification would clearly be a change in existing law.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this meeting.

Sincerely,

/s/ Samuel L. Feder

Samuel L. Feder