March 15, 1996

EX PARTE

William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Mail Stop 1170
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Mr. Caton:

Re: CC Docket No. 96-45, Universal Service

At the request of the Joint Board Staff, we are distributing one copy of the attached material, cited at footnote 81, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Order Establishing Joint Board, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45 (Mar. 8, 1996) to the Joint Board service list. This material is already part of the record in CC Docket No. 80-286.

We are submitting two copies of this notice in accordance with Section 1.1206(a)(1) of the Commission’s rules. Please stamp and return the provided copy to confirm your receipt. Please contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Gina Harrison

Attachment

cc: See Attached Pages
Mark Long
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Gerald Gunter Building
Tallahassee, FL 32399

Samuel Loudenslager
Arkansas Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 400
Little Rock, AR 72203-0400

Sandra Makeeff
Iowa Utilities Board
Lucas State Office Building
Des Moines, IA 50319

Philip F. McClelland
Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate
1425 Strawberry Square
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Michael A. McRae
D.C. Office of the People’s Counsel
1133 15th Street, NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005

Rafi Mohammed
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, NW, Room 812
Washington, DC 20036

Terry Monroe
New York Public Service Commission
Three Empire Plaza
Albany, NY 12223

Andrew Mulitz
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, NW, Suite 257
Washington, DC 20036
Mark Nadel
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 542
Washington, DC 20554

Gary Oddi
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, NW, Suite 257
Washington, DC 20036

Teresa Pitts
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
P.O. Box 47250
Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Jeanine Poltronieri
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, NW, Suite 257
Washington, DC 20036

James Bradford Ramsay
National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners
1201 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20423

Jonathan Reel
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, NW, Room 257
Washington, DC 20036

Brian Roberts
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3298

Gary Seigel
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, NW, Suite 812
Washington, DC 20036
Pamela Szymczak  
Federal Communications Commission  
2000 L Street, NW, Suite 257  
Washington, DC  20036

Whiting Thayer  
Federal Communications Commission  
2000 L Street, NW, Suite 812  
Washington, DC  20036

Deborah S. Waldbaum  
Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel  
1580 Logan Street, Suite 610  
Denver, CO  80203

Alex Belinfante  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, NW  
Washington, DC  20554

Larry Povich  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, NW  
Washington, DC  20554
February 29, 1996

EX PARTE

William F. Caton  
Acting Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
Mail Stop 1170  
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Mr. Caton:

Re:  CC Docket No. 80-286 - Amendment of Part 36 of The Commission's Rules and Establishment of a Joint Board

Rex Mitchell, Regulatory Vice President, Pacific Bell, and I met on Wednesday, February 29 with Kathleen B. Levitz, Deputy Chief, Gary Seigel, Accounting and Audits Division, and Dan Gonzalez, Legal Assistant to the Chief, Common Carrier Bureau; and Todd Silbergeld, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Barrett, to discuss issues summarized in the attachments. Please associate this material with the above-referenced docket.

We are submitting two copies of this notice in accordance with Section 1.1206(a)(1) of the Commission's Rules.

Please stamp and return the provided copy to confirm your receipt. Please contact me should you have any questions or require additional information concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Attachments

cc:  Dan Gonzalez  
Kathleen B. Levitz  
Gary Seigel  
Todd Silbergeld
Jurisdictional Issues

The Act places responsibility on the FCC to address the universal service issue. It also encourages states to have consistent additional plans.

- The FCC could address universal service by reallocating the interstate subsidy defined through the separations process -- the 25% issue.
  - Create a competitively neutral method to collect the subsidy amount
    - The CCL is not a viable collection method alternative because it is not competitively neutral, i.e., only a subset of people are paying it and it is collected only by incumbent LECs
    - An industry-wide surcharge is a viable alternative
    - Bulk billing the CCL should be considered as well
  - Create a competitively neutral, geographically deaveraged method to distribute the subsidy amount
    - Proxy cost modeling is a method to calculate the deaveraged support necessary by geography
  - There can be compatible State/Federal universal service mechanism each supporting a portion of the geographically deaveraged loop costs
- The FCC/Joint Board could adopt a comprehensive Federal/State program that addresses the entire difference between the total price charged (basic rate and EUCL) and the cost of service for each geography.
Calculation of the Amount of Support and Targeting Support

- Proxy cost models have been developed to approximate the widely differing costs of providing service in each geography
  - The US West Benchmark Cost Model was the first such attempt
  - Pacific Bell has filed a Cost Proxy Model in California which can be adapted to federal use

- Pacific Bell’s model uses customer specific location data, business customer data and location of facilities to calculate the costs of providing service to a locality -- can be used by Census Blocks, by Wire Center or even by specific customer

- It is critically important that subsidies be highly targeted to geographic areas -- Wide variations in costs have been shown to exist. Proxy cost modeling is the most reasonable approach to identifying these cost differences

- Cost models should calculate the reasonable cost of providing service, including a reasonable allocation of joint and common costs.
Relationship to Access Charge Reform

- Access reform addresses many of the same issues raised by universal service
  - Costs vary significantly from geography to geography
    - In a competitive environment, those cost differences must be reflected in prices and in subsidy mechanisms

- The CCL is a large implicit subsidy mechanism
  - Customers can avoid paying CCL either by choosing a non-LEC provider or by choosing special access services provided by the LEC
  - CCL represents costs more accurately attributable to basic service, yet is recovered on a usage basis.
  - High toll customers (rich or poor) are paying several times their proportionate loop costs while low usage customers (rich or poor) are paying less than their loop costs
  - A new competitively neutral mechanism to recover the costs currently recovered by the CCL is required to solve the universal service issues and to address access reform

- An increase in the EUCL decreases the subsidy, but to be consistent with a competitive environment, should be done in a geographically deaveraged way -- in some areas it may be too high already
Meaning of “Reasonable Comparability”

- The Telecommunications Act requires that all regions, including low income and rural areas have the type of services and rates that are “reasonably Comparable” to those in urban areas.

- California has addressed the reasonable comparability issue in the subsidy context:
  - The CPUC requires that prices for residential basic exchange service in rural areas should be 150% of the price charged by Pacific Bell in its urban areas (currently $11.25) before the state High Cost Fund subsidizes rural customers.
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