EX PARTE PRESENTATION

May 21, 2008

Ms. Julie Veach
Deputy Bureau Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

RE: WC Docket Nos. 07-243, 07-244, 04-36, CC Docket Nos. 95-116, 99-200: Response to FCC Staff Request for Information

Dear Ms. Veach,

On May 1, 2008 you requested that the co-chairs of the North American Numbering Council (“NANC”) Local Number Portability Administration (“LNPA”) Working Group and I provide you certain information with regard to the local number portability practice. That information is attached. In particular, you requested that I provide you current copies of the NANC Inter-Service Provider LNP Operations Flows and Number Portability Best Practices Matrix. Attached are the latest versions of these documents. The full NANC has considered and approved changes related to these documents that were the consequence of the FCC’s November 8, 2007 Declaratory Ruling.¹

You also asked three specific questions about the number portability process to the LNPA working group co-chairs, and attached is a memorandum from the LNPA co-chairs that provides their answers to this question.

I have also enclosed a memorandum from the LNPA working group that specifically discusses the working group’s consensus that two fields of information (New Provider SPID and Desired Due Date) that the working group believes a porting-in carrier should provide to the porting-out carrier in order to

maintain full and efficient operation of the Number Portability Administration Center (“NPAC”). This memorandum was agreed to unanimously by the LNPA membership and was presented by the LNPA working group co-chairs to the full NANC at our February 22, 2008 meeting.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any further questions.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Koutsky
Chair, North American Numbering Council
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